OID/Evaluation of Instruction Program CLASS SUMMARY FOR ADVANCED TOPICS

Instructor:

JEWITT, D.C.

Department: E&S SCI

Course: Type: ADVANCED TOPICS 298

LEC 002

Enrollment:

LEC 00

nt: 7

Processed: 5 forms... 71.4% response rate

08-08-2011 01:19:01

ID:	303	85	8	84	6

ID: 578590201

••		2. UCLA GPA	nses: 5	3. Expected	Grade responses: 5	4. Requirement Fulfilled # valid responses: 5			
		# valid respor	ises: 3	# valid	responses.	# valid respe	nises.		
Freshman:	0.00 %	Below 2.0:	0.00 %	A:	80.00 %	Major:	100.00 %		
Sophomore:	0.00 %	2.0 - 2.49:	0.00 %	B:	0.00 %	Related Field:	0.00 %		
Junior:	0.00 %	2.5 - 2.99:	0.00 %	C:	0.00 %	G.E.:	0.00 %		
Senior:	0.00 %	3.0 - 3.49:	0.00 %	D:	0.00 %	None:	0.00 %		
Graduate:	100.00 %	3.5 +;	100.00 %	F:	0.00 %				
Other:	0.00 %	Not Established	0.00 %	P:	0.00 %				
				NP:	0.00 %				
				?:	20.00 %				

		Not Low		Medium				High No						Std	
Questions	Appl	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Rsp	Rsp	Mean	Mdn	Dev
5. The instructor was concerned about student learning.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	7.20	7.00	0.4
6. Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	5	8.00	8.00	0.7
7. Students felt welcome in seeking help.	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	7.00	7.00	1.6
8. The instructor had good communication skills		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	5	8.40	8.00	0.5
9. You have learned something you consider valuable.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	5	8.40	9.00	0.9
10. Your overall rating of the instructor.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	. 1	0	5	8.20	8.00	0.4
11. Your overall rating of the course.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	5	7.60	8.00	0.5
12. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
13. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
14. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
15. Nonstandard Question.	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0.00	0.00	0.0

Course Characteristics	Not Appl	Low	Medium	High	#No Resp	#Val Resp
16. Subject Interest before Course	0	0	0	3	2	3
17. Subject Interest after Course	0	0	0	3	2	3
18. Mastery of Course Material	0	0	2	1	2	3
19. Difficulty (Relative to Other Courses)	0	1	2	0	2	3
20. Workload/Pace Was	0	0	2	0	3	2
21. Texts, Required Readings	0	0	2	1	2	3
22. Homework Assignments	2	0	1	0	2	3
23. Graded Materials, Examinations	2	0	1	0	2	3
24. Lecture Presentations	0	0	3	0	2	3
25. Class Discussions	0	0	1	2	2	3

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

I really enjoyed Dave's lecture style. He moved fast but his tone and wording made it easy to keep up, the bits of scientific humor were always appreciated and made this class a fun and interesting learning experience.

A little more organization in term of class expectations would be appreciated next time.

NOTE: Each year, the Academic Senate Committee on Teaching gives awards to outstanding faculty and teaching assistants. If you wish to nominate an instructor or teaching assistant for such an award, please contact the instructor's department.

PLEASE IDENTIF	Y WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STREINGTHS AND WEAKINESSES OF THIS ND COURSE.	
· Great	Centures!	
teaching assista	r, the Academic Senate Committee on Teaching gives awards to outstanding faculty and nts. If you wish to nominate an instructor or teaching assistant for such an award, please ructor's department.	

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

I have previously expressed that I think you are a great teacher, so I will focus on the course, which is probably more interesting to your anyway.

I think what makes this class so unique and so great, in theory, is the way that it connects the sides of science so that we might converge on a collaborative approach to planetary surfaces (and eventually all of planetary science). Astronomy and geology are two sciences that really inform one another but that i connection is often ignored and not exploited nearly enough. Unfortunately, I feel that this class only contributed to the distinction, and not to the connection, as it has created to do.

That said, I think the reason this goal masn't accomplished was solely because it was the class' first year, and as a result, it was a bit scattered. I think the lectures are connected, and it would just take a bit of organization to make that connection explicit.

Another way to ensure that the class is againsted to cogent is to give some type of assessment throughout the quarter (problem sets that aren't optional, exams, papers, etc.) So that the students are sure to keep up, and also to hold the professors accountable to teaching to the assessments so that the students are successful. Basically, assessments hold everybody accountable, and brings the class together.

All of that said, I really did thoroughly enjoy this class (definitely my favorite this quarter), and I knowed a ton. Thank you for teathing it, I sincerely hope you do again.

NOTE: Each year, the Academic Senate Committee on Teaching gives awards to outstanding faculty and teaching assistants. If you wish to nominate an instructor or teaching assistant for such an award, please contact the instructor's department.

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

This course was very enjoyable is both professors did an excellent job. Both professors were very up beat i excited about the prospective of combining their individual fortes into one class. The pace was good considering the range of student disciplines in the class is professors were very conscientions of students' academic backgrounds. Overall, a queat class is a job well done!

NOTE: Each year, the Academic Senate Committee on Teaching gives awards to outstanding faculty and teaching assistants. If you wish to nominate an instructor or teaching assistant for such an award, please contact the instructor's department.