COLOR SYSTEMATICS OF COMETS AND RELATED BODIES*

DAVID JEWITT^{1,2}

¹ Department of Earth, Planetary and Space Sciences, UCLA, 595 Charles Young Drive East, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1567, USA; jewitt@ucla.edu ² Department of Physics and Astronomy, UCLA, 430 Portola Plaza, Box 951547 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547, USA *Received 2015 September 2; accepted 2015 October 22; published 2015 December 15*

ABSTRACT

Most comets are volatile-rich bodies that have recently entered the inner solar system following long-term storage in the Kuiper belt and the Oort cloud reservoirs. These reservoirs feed several distinct, short-lived "small body" populations. Here, we present new measurements of the optical colors of cometary and comet-related bodies including long-period (Oort cloud) comets, Damocloids (probable inactive nuclei of long-period comets) and Centaurs (recent escapees from the Kuiper belt and precursors to the Jupiter family comets). We combine the new measurements with published data on short-period comets, Jovian Trojans and Kuiper belt objects to examine the color systematics of the comet-related populations. We find that the mean optical colors of the dust in short-period and long-period comets are identical within the uncertainties of measurement, as are the colors of the dust and of the underlying nuclei. These populations show no evidence for scattering by optically small particles or for compositional gradients, even at the largest distances from the Sun, and no evidence for ultrared matter. Consistent with earlier work, ultrared surfaces are common in the Kuiper belt and on the Centaurs, but not in other small body populations, suggesting that this material is hidden or destroyed upon entry to the inner solar system. The onset of activity in the Centaurs and the disappearance of the ultrared matter in this population begin at about the same perihelion distance (~ 10 AU), suggesting that the two are related. Blanketing of primordial surface materials by the fallback of sub-orbital ejecta, for which we calculate a very short timescale, is the likely mechanism. The same process should operate on any mass-losing body, explaining the absence of ultrared surface material in the entire comet population.

Key words: comets: general - Kuiper belt: general - minor planets, asteroids: general - Oort Cloud

1. INTRODUCTION

Several distinct and apparently unrelated solar system populations are now recognized to be evolutionary states derived mainly from two source reservoirs, the Oort cloud and the Kuiper belt. These icy reservoirs are recognized as products of accretion in the Sun's long-gone protoplanetary disk, while the details of their relation to this disk are the uncertain subjects of on-going research. Sublimation of ice in Oort cloud and Kuiper belt escapees begins when their perihelia are displaced toward the Sun, and becomes especially strong inside the orbit of Jupiter (\sim 5 AU), where crystalline water ice is thermodynamically unstable. The resulting activity leads to the conventional re-labeling of these objects as comets. Classically, objects are labelled as either short-period comets (SPCs) or long-period comets (LPCs) depending on whether their orbital periods are less than or greater than 200 years. This somewhat arbitrary distinction provides a rather good discriminant between comets originating in the two reservoirs. Broadly speaking, the Kuiper belt (where equilibrium temperatures are $T \sim 30-40$ K) feeds the population of SPCs while the Oort cloud $(T \sim 10 \text{ K})$ is the source of LPCs. The schematic Figure 1, included here as a guide to the rest of the paper, shows some of the suggested relationships between the reservoirs and the comet-related populations. While the figure is highly simplistic and hides many uncertainties about possible dynamical interrelations (e.g., Fernández et al. 2004; Emel'yanenko et al. 2013), it serves our purposes here by

usefully connecting the different small-body populations discussed in this paper.

The nomenclature used to describe different dynamical types of comet has recently become much more complicated and deserves a brief account. The comets are sometimes classified by $T_{\rm J}$, their Tisserand parameters measured with respect to Jupiter:

$$T_{\rm J} = \frac{a_{\rm J}}{a} + 2 \left[\left(1 - e^2 \right) \frac{a}{a_{\rm J}} \right]^{1/2} \cos(i) \tag{1}$$

where a, e and i are the orbital semimajor axis, eccentricity and inclination of the orbit and $a_{\rm I}$ is the semimajor axis of Jupiter. This parameter is conserved in the circular, restricted threebody approximation to cometary dynamics. Jupiter family comets (JFCs), a sub-set of the SPCs, have $2 \leq T_{I} \leq 3$ while LPCs have $T_{\rm J} < 2$ (Vaghi 1973). An intermediate group called Halley type comets (HTCs), also with $T_{\rm J} < 2$, has an inclination distribution distinct from the disk-like JFCs and the isotropically distributed LPCs. In this paper we are not particularly concerned with minute dynamical distinctions between comet classes and, for the most part, are content to distinguish mainly between SPCs and LPCs as originally defined. We use "JFC" and "SPC" interchangeably. Likewise, we use "Halley type" and "long-period" comets interchangeably. The terms "ecliptic comets" and "nearly isotropic comets" have been suggested (Levison 1996), but these are practically degenerate with the more familiar short-period and long-period appellations and we do not use them here.

The classical Oort cloud is a 50,000 AU scale structure that supplies LPCs and, probably, HTCs (although the source of

^{*} The data presented herein were obtained at the W. M. Keck Observatory, which is operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Observatory was made possible by the generous financial support of the W. M. Keck Foundation.



Figure 1. Schematic flow diagram highlighting suspected connections between cometary populations. Numbers give the approximate lifetimes of the different stages. SPC—short-period comet, LPC—long period comet, HTC—Halley-type comet, dLPC and dJFC are defunct LPCs and JFCs, respectively. Several suggested connections (e.g., between the Scattered KBOs and the Oort cloud) have been omitted for clarity.

HTCs has not been definitively established; c.f. Levison et al. 2006; Emel'yanenko et al. 2013). Damocloids are point-like objects with $T_{\rm J} < 2$ that are likely to be the defunct nuclei of HTCs (Jewitt 2005), but again the details of this connection are not certain (Wang et al. 2012). Objects with perihelia between the orbits of Jupiter and Neptune are called Centaurs. They are likely escaped Kuiper belt objects which, in turn, feed the population of JFCs. The Centaurs are short-lived (median lifetime ~ 10 Myr, albeit with a wide range; Horner et al. 2003, 2004; Tiscareno & Malhotra 2003) as a result of strong gravitational scattering interactions with the giant planets. The JFCs are even shorter-lived (~0.4 Myr; Levison & Duncan 1994) because of frequent scattering by the terrestrial planets. They also experience very rapid physical evolution driven by sublimation. About 80% of the "asteroids" possessing JFC-like orbits have low, comet-like albedos (Kim et al. 2014).

Previous work has established that the Kuiper belt objects display an extraordinarily large range of optical colors (Luu & Jewitt 1996; Tegler & Romanishin 2000; Jewitt & Luu 2001; Hainaut & Delsanti 2002; Jewitt 2002; Tegler et al. 2003; Peixinho et al. 2004; Hainaut et al. 2012). This is due, in part, to the presence of ultrared matter which is defined as having a normalized reflectivity gradient $S' \ge 25\%$ (1000 Å)⁻¹,

corresponding to optical colors $B-R \ge 1.6$ mag (Jewitt 2002). While irradiated organics have long been suspected to be responsible for the red colors, the nature of the ultrared matter remains unknown. We do know that the distribution of ultrared matter in the solar system is peculiar. It is most concentrated in the dynamically cold (low inclination) portion of the Classical Kuiper belt (Tegler & Romanishin 2000; Trujillo & Brown 2002) but is present in all the known Kuiper belt populations (e.g., Sheppard 2010; Hainaut et al. 2012). Ultrared matter exists on the Centaurs, whose optical color distribution appears to be bimodal (Peixinho et al. 2003, 2012; Tegler et al. 2003) but it has not been reported on other smallbody populations (Jewitt 2002).

In this paper, we present new optical measurements and combine them with data from the literature in order to examine color systematics of the comet-related populations. We present systematic measurements of the LPCs, as a group, and the first measurements of trans-Jovian LPCs (i.e., those with perihelia beyond Jupiter's orbit, where sublimation of crystalline water ice is negligible). Our measurements of Damocloids and Centaurs extend earlier work (Jewitt 2005, 2009, respectively). The paper is divided as follows; Section 2 describes the observational methods and object samples, Section 3 presents results that are discussed in Section 4, while Section 5 presents a summary.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Methods

We used the 10 m diameter Keck I telescope located atop Mauna Kea, Hawaii and the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS) camera (Oke et al. 1995) to obtain photometry. The LRIS camera has two channels housing red and blue optimized charge-coupled devices (CCDs) separated by a dichroic filter (we used the "460" dichroic, which has 50% transmission at 4875 Å). On the blue side we used a broadband B filter (center wavelength $\lambda_c = 4369$ Å, full width at half maximum (FWHM) $\Delta \lambda = 880$ Å) and on the red side a V filter $(\lambda_c = 5473 \text{ Å}, \Delta \lambda = 948 \text{ Å})$ and an *R* filter $(\lambda_c = 6417 \text{ Å},$ $\Delta \lambda = 1185$ Å). Some objects were also measured in the *I* filter $(\lambda_c = 7600 \text{ Å}, \Delta \lambda = 1225 \text{ Å})$. The *I*-filter measurements are listed in the data-tables of this paper but have not been used in the subsequent analysis because they are few in number compared to photometry in B, V and R. All observations used the facility atmospheric dispersion compensator to correct for differential refraction, and the telescope was tracked nonsidereally while autoguiding on fixed stars. The image scale on both cameras was 0.135 pixel⁻¹ and the useful field of view approximately $320'' \times 440''$. Atmospheric seeing ranged from ~ 0.17 to 1.13 FWHM and observations were taken only when the sky above Mauna Kea was photometric, as judged in realtime from a photometer at the nearby Canada-France-Hawaii telescope and later by repeated measurements of photometric standard stars.

The data were reduced by subtracting a bias (zero exposure) image and then dividing by a flat field image constructed from integrations taken on a diffusely illuminated spot on the inside of the Keck dome. The target objects were identified in the flattened images from their positions and distinctive nonsidereal motions. Photometry was obtained using circular projected apertures tailored to the target and the individual nightly observing conditions, with sky subtraction obtained from a contiguous annulus. In poor seeing we used appropriately enlarged apertures. Observations of resolved comets generally used a sky annulus 12" in radius and 6" in width; the resulting contamination of the sky annulus by cometary dust is judged to be minimal. Photometric calibration was secured from observations of standard stars from Landolt (1992), always using the same apertures as employed for the target objects, on stars at similar airmass. We used only Landolt stars having ± 0.01 mag or better uncertainties and colors close to those of the Sun. Repeated measurements of the standard stars confirmed the photometric stability of each night at the $\sim\pm1\%$ level.

The red-side CCD in LRIS is a physically thick device that is particularly susceptible to "cosmic ray" (actually muon and other ionizing particles) contamination. We examined each image for such contamination and individually removed artifacts by digital interpolation before photometry where possible. In a few cases, long cosmic ray tracks (caused by energetic particles grazing the CCD) could not be removed and we eliminated these images from further consideration. Likewise, objects whose photometry was contaminated by field stars and galaxies were revisited where possible, and ignored from further consideration where not. Uncertainties were estimated from the scatter of repeated measurements taken within a single night. Some objects were observed on more than one night. In general, we find that the agreement between nights is compatible with the photometric uncertainties estimated nightly.

2.2. The Observational Samples

The new measurements presented in this paper refer to the populations of LPCs, the Damocloids, and the Centaurs. For each of these populations we present the orbital properties, the geometric circumstances of observation and the photometric results in a series of data tables, as we shortly discuss. The orbital properties are taken from the NASA JPL Horizons (http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/horizons.cgi), ephemeris service which was also used to compute the geometric circumstances for each observation. Photometric uncertainties on each object, σ , were computed from $\sigma = (k_0^2 + \sigma_d^2)^{1/2}$, where σ_d is the standard error on the mean of repeated measurements. Quantity k_0 is a floor to the acceptable uncertainty estimated from the scatter in zero points deduced from observations of photometric standard stars, and from inspection of real-time opacity measurements from the CFHT Skyprobe (http://www.cfht. hawaii.edu/Instruments/Elixir/skyprobe/home.html). Typically, we found $k_0 = 0.01$ while σ_d varied strongly with the brightness of the object, as expected, and with the contaminating effects of field stars and galaxies. The reported mean colors of the different populations are given as unweighted means of the individual object colors, with the error on the mean computed assuming Gaussian statistics (i.e., the error on the mean is approximately the standard deviation of the population divided by the square root of the number of measurements). We conservatively elected not to consider the weighted mean color of a population because the weighting gives too much power to the most precise photometry (typically of the brightest objects). However, in most cases, the unweighted mean and the weighted mean colors of a population are consistent.

Long Period Comets: We observed 26 LPCs (i.e., comets with $T_J < 2$), 18 of them with perihelion distance $q > a_J$, where $a_I = 5.2$ AU is the orbital semimajor axis of Jupiter.

Interest in the properties of these rarely observed trans-Jovian objects is high, for two reasons. First, beyond Jupiter, the rate of sublimation of crystalline water ice is negligible, meaning that any observed activity must have another cause (either the sublimation of a more volatile ice, or the action of a different mechanism of ejection). Second, trans-Jovian radiation equilibrium temperatures are so low that ice grains expelled from the nucleus can survive in the coma, whereas the lifetimes of ice grains in the inner solar system are strongly curtailed by sublimation. Together, these effects (a potential change in the physics of ejection and the preferential survival of volatile solids at large distances) are expected to have observable effects on the trans-Jovian LPCs.

The orbital elements of the observed LPCs are listed in Table 1. Two objects (2013 AZ60 with q = 7.9 AU and 2013 LD16 with q = 2.545 AU) lack a cometary designation but are included in Table 1 because we have observed them to show coma. Of the 18 trans-Jovian comets, three (namely C/2014 B1 at q = 9.5 AU, C/2010 L3 at q = 9.9 AU and C/2003 A2 at q = 11.4 AU) have perihelia at or beyond the orbit of Saturn.

Table 2 lists the geometric circumstances of observation for each comet, while the color measurements are presented in Table 3. The mean colors of the LPCs from our observations are $B-V = 0.78 \pm 0.02$, $V-R = 0.47 \pm 0.02$ and R-I = 0.42 ± 0.03 . Some of the LPCs in our sample are likely making their first passage through the planetary system and may show properties different from comets that have been previously heated (for example, owing to the release of surface material accumulated during 4.5 Gyr of exposure in the Oort cloud). To this end, we analyzed the pre-perihelion and post-perihelion observations separately, finding B-V = 0.81 ± 0.02 , $V-R = 0.47 \pm 0.02$ and $R-I = 0.40 \pm 0.04$ (pre-perihelion) and $B-V = 0.75 \pm 0.02$, $V-R = 0.47 \pm$ 0.02 and $R-I = 0.44 \pm 0.03$ (post-perihelion), with 13 comets in each group. No significant differences exist between the preand post-perihelion colors of the LPCs. Neither do the colors show a correlation with the orbital binding energy (taken as the inverse semi-major axis, from Table 1). We conclude that there is no evidence for color differences that might be associated with the first entry of dynamically new comets into the planetary region. This conclusion is tempered by intrinsic uncertainties in the orbits followed by comets in the past (e.g., Królikowska & Dybczyński 2013).

For comparison, the mean colors of six LPCs measured by Solontoi et al. (2012) in the Sloan filter system (but transformed to BVRI using the relations given by Ivezić et al. 2007) are $B - V = 0.76 \pm 0.01$ (6), $V - R = 0.43 \pm 0.01$, are in reasonable agreement with our data. The mean colors of five LPCs reported by Meech et al. (2009) are $B-V = 0.687 \pm 0.005$, $V-R = 0.443 \pm 0.003$. While V-Ris again in good agreement, the latter B - V color appears bluer by ~ 0.08 mag than in Solontoi et al. (2012) or the present work, and this difference is unexplained. Comet C/2003 A2, the only object observed in common between the Meech et al. paper and the present work, has consistent colors $(V-R = 0.46 \pm 0.01, R-I = 0.39 \pm 0.01$ from Meech et al. versus $V - R = 0.47 \pm 0.04$, $R - I = 0.46 \pm 0.04$ here) but was not measured in B-R. Object 2010 AZ60 was independently observed by Pál et al. (2015), who found Sloan g' - r' = 0.72 ± 0.05 . When transformed according to the prescription by Jester et al. (2005), this gives $B - V = 0.93 \pm 0.06$. This compares with $B-V = 0.82 \pm 0.01$ measured here. We

 Table 1

 Long Period Comet Orbital Elements

N	Object	q^{a}	a ^b	e ^c	i ^d	T_P^{e}
1	C/2003 A2 (Gleason)	11.427	-1643.9	1.007	8.1	2003 Nov 04.0
2	C/2004 D1 (NEAT)	4.975	-3056.1	1.002	45.5	2006 Feb 10/8
3	C/2006 S3 (Loneos)	5.131	-1672	1.0031	166.0	2012 Apr 16.5
4	C/2007 D1 (LINEAR)	8.793	-30938	1.000	41.5	2007 Jun 19.0
5	C/2008 S3 (Boattini)	8.019	-7505.6	1.001	162.7	2011 Jun 05.9
6	C/2009 T1 (McNaught)	6.220	3837.1	0.998	89.9	2009 Oct 08.2
7	C/2010 D4 (WISE)	7.148	64.656	0.889	105.7	2009 Mar 30.9
8	C/2010 DG56 (WISE)	1.591	67.525	0.976	160.4	2010 May 15.6
9	C/2010 L3 (Catalina)	9.882	12180.5	0.999	102.6	2010 Nov 10.4
10	C/2010 U3 (Boattini)	8.469	-7071.7	1.001	55.42	2019 Feb 26.3
11	C/2011 Q1 (PANSTARRS)	6.780	3285.0	0.998	94.9	2011 Jun 29.4
12	C/2012 A1 (PANSTARRS)	7.605	-7505.85	1.001	120.9	2013 Nov 29.3
13	C/2012 E1 (Hill)	7.503	3761.43	0.998	122.5	2011 Jul 04.0
14	C/2012 K8 (Lemmon)	6.464	-2021.4	1.003	106.1	2014 Aug 19.2
15	C/2012 LP26 (Palomar)	6.534	3954.3	0.998	25.4	2015 Aug 17.5
16	2013 AZ60	7.911	991.67	0.992	16.5	2014 Nov 22.2
17	C/2013 E1 (McNaught)	7.782	-3133.88	1.003	158.7	2013 Jun 12.1
18	C/2013 H2 (Boattini)	7.499	-2657.52	1.003	128.4	2014 Jan 23.2
19	2013 LD16	2.545	80.008	0.968	154.7	2013 Oct 14.8
20	C/2013 P3 (Palomar)	8.646	9.99e99	1.	93.9	2014 Nov 24.1
21	C/2014 AA52 (CATALINA)	2.003	-5503.2	1.000	105.2	2015 Feb 27.6
22	C/2014 B1 (Schwarz)	9.531	-642.7	1.015	28.4	2017 Sep 06.3
23	C/2014 R1 (Borisov)	1.345	181.9	0.993	9.9	2014 Nov 19.2
24	C/2014 W6 (Catalina)	3.088	-1659	1.0019	53.6	2015 Mar 19.0
25	C/2014 XB8 (PANSTARRS)	3.011	1902749	0.999998	149.8	2015 Apr 05.5
26	C/2015 B1 (PANSTARRS	3.700	9.99e99	1.	20.8	2016 Sep 20.9

^a Perihelion distance, AU.

^b Orbital semimajor axis, AU.

^c Orbital eccentricity.

^d Orbital inclination, degree.

^e Date of perihelion.

consider this reasonable agreement given the large uncertainties on the former measurement. Pal et al. did not comment on the cometary nature of 2010 AZ60.

Damocloids: The Damocloids are point-source objects having $T_J < 2$, where T_J is the Tisserand parameter measured with respect to Jupiter (Jewitt 2005). The orbital elements of the Damocloids observed here are reported in Table 4 and the geometric circumstances of observation may be found in Table 5. The measured colors of the Damocloids are listed in Table 6. The mean colors from these measurements alone are $B-V = 0.80 \pm 0.02$, $V-R = 0.54 \pm 0.01$, $R-I = 0.45 \pm 0.03$ and $B-R = 1.34 \pm 0.02$. Combined with additional measurements from Table 4 of Jewitt (2005), we obtain mean colors $B-V = 0.80 \pm 0.02$, $V-R = 0.51 \pm 0.02$, $R-I = 0.47 \pm 0.02$ and $B-R = 1.31 \pm 0.02$.

Two of the 15 observed Damocloids, C/2010 DG56 and C/2014 AA52, received cometary designations between their selection for this study and their observation at the Keck. A third, 2013 LD16, was found by us to be cometary, although it retains an asteroidal designation. This transformation from inactive to active also occurred in our original study of the Damocloids (Jewitt 2005), and provides strong evidence that bodies selected as probable defunct comets on the basis of their distinctive orbits indeed carry near-surface volatiles. We moved the active Damocloids to our LPC sample. The colors of object 342842 (2008 YB3) were independently measured by

Sheppard (2010) as $B-R = 1.26 \pm 0.01$, $V-R = 0.46 \pm 0.01$, and by Pinilla-Alonso et al. (2013) as $B-R = 1.32 \pm 0.06$, $V-R = 0.50 \pm 0.06$, in reasonable agreement with the colors measured here $B-R = 1.25 \pm 0.02$, $V-R = 0.51 \pm 0.02$.

Centaurs: To define our Centaur sample (Table 7), we selected objects having perihelia $q > a_{\rm J}$ and semimajor axes $|a| < a_{\rm N}$, ignoring objects in 1:1 resonance with the giant planets (c.f. Jewitt 2009). This is a narrower definition than is employed by some dynamicists, but serves to provide a convenient sample distinct from the Kuiper belt objects at larger semimajor axes and the SPCs at smaller distances. We observed 17 Centaurs, 7 of them active. The geometric circumstances of observation are given in Table 8 while the photometry is given in Table 9. To the new observations in these tables we add separate measurements of the colors of Centaurs using data from Jewitt (2009) and from Peixinho et al. (2003) and Peixinho et al. (2012). We consider the inactive and active Centaurs separately. Their colors appear quite different in being, for the inactive Centaurs $B-V = 0.93 \pm 0.04$ (29), $V-R = 0.55 \pm 0.03$ (29), $R-I = 0.45 \pm 0.02(3)$ and for the active objects $B - V = 0.80 \pm 0.03$ (12), V - R = 0.50 ± 0.03 (13).

Active SPCs: We used results from a survey by Solontoi et al. (2012), who found mean colors $B-V = 0.80 \pm 0.01$ (20), $V-R = 0.46 \pm 0.01$ (20). These measurements were taken using the SLOAN broadband filter system and

 Table 2

 Long Period Comet Observational Geometry

Ν	Object	UT Date	r_H^{a}	Δ^{b}	α^{c}
1	C/2003 A2 (Gleason)	2005 Jan 15	11.640	10.921	3.4
2	C/2004 D1 (NEAT)	2005 Jan 15	5.799	5.041	9.0
3	C/2006 S3 (Loneos)	2015 Feb 18	9.011	8.164	3.4
4	C/2007 D1 (LINEAR)	2010 Mar 17	10.490	9.587	2.4
5	C/2008 S3 (Boattini)	2010 Aug 10	8.222	8.055	7.0
	"	2010 Sep 10	8.182	7.505	5.5
6	C/2009 T1 (McNaught)	2011 Jan 30	7.024	6.894	8.0
7	C/2010 D4 (WISE)	2010 Sep 10	7.822	8.114	6.9
8	C/2010 DG56 (WISE)	2010 Sep 10	2.210	1.226	7.3
9	C/2010 L3 (Catalina)	2010 Sep 10	9.889	10.094	5.7
10	C/2010 U3 (Boattini)	2011 Jan 30	17.982	18.003	3.1
	"	2012 Oct 13	15.382	14.487	1.7
	"	2012 Oct 14	15.378	14.487	1.6
11	C/2011 Q1 (PANSTARRS)	2012 Oct 13	7.449	6.961	6.9
	22	2012 Oct 14	7.452	6.998	7.0
12	C/2012 A1 (PANSTARRS)	2014 Feb 26	7.621	7.333	7.3
13	C/2012 E1 (Hill)	2014 Feb 26	9.567	9.048	5.2
14	C/2012 K8 (Lemmon)	2012 Oct 13	7.872	7.695	7.2
15	C/2012 LP26 (Palomar)	2012 Oct 13	9.378	10.174	3.5
	22	2012 Oct 14	9.374	10.176	3.5
	22	2014 Feb 27	7.442	7.677	7.3
16	2013 AZ60	2014 Feb 26	8.077	7.214	3.6
17	C/2013 E1 (McNaught)	2014 Feb 26	7.944	6.983	1.8
18	C/2013 H2 (Boattini)	2014 Feb 27	7.502	7.384	7.6
19	2013 LD16 ^d	2014 Feb 27	2.915	2.001	9.1
20	C/2013 P3 (Palomar)	2013 Oct 01	8.984	8.101	3.2
21	C/2014 AA52 (CATALINA)	2014 Feb 26	4.483	3.563	5.2
22	C/2014 B1 (Schwarz)	2014 Feb 26	11.875	11.462	4.4
23	C/2014 R1 (Borisov)	2015 Feb 18	1.875	1.882	30.5
24	C/2014 W6 (Catalina)	2015 Feb 18	3.100	2.282	12.0
25	C/2014 XB8 (PANSTARRS)	2015 Feb 17	3.047	3.239	17.8
26	C/2015 B1 (PANSTARRS)	2015 Feb 18	6.145	5.203	3.0

^a Heliocentric distance, AU.

^b Geocentric distance, AU.

^c Phase angle, degree.

transformed to BVR. The transformation may incur a small uncertainty, probably of order 0.01 mag, in addition to the quoted statistical uncertainty.

Active Cometary Nuclei: The colors of cometary nuclei have been reported in Tables 3 and 5 of the compilation by Lamy & Toth (2009). The accuracy of many of these colors depends on digital processing to remove near-nucleus coma and several discrepant or anomalous objects exist. We reject objects (for example, 6P/d'Arrest) having wildly inconsistent colors, and objects for which the B-R color is uncertain by $\sigma > 0.1$ mag. The resulting sample has 16 SPC nuclei ($2 \le T_J \le 3$), for which the mean colors and the standard errors on the means are $B-V = 0.87 \pm 0.05$, $V-R = 0.50 \pm 0.03$, $R-I = 0.46 \pm$ 0.03, giving $B-R = 1.37 \pm 0.08$.

The sample also includes five comets with $T_J < 2$, (i.e., LPC nuclei) for which the mean colors are $B-V = 0.77 \pm 0.02$, $V-R = 0.44 \pm 0.02$, $R-I = 0.44 \pm 0.02(5)$ and $B-R = 1.22 \pm 0.03$.

Defunct Short-period Nuclei: The colors of the defunct nuclei of SPCs, sometimes called ACOs (Asteroids in Cometary Orbits), have been measured by several authors. Alvarez-Candal (2013) found a mean reflectivity gradient $S' = 2.8\% (1000 \text{ Å})^{-1}$ (no uncertainty quoted) from a sample

of 94 objects, after eliminating 73 objects "with behavior similar to *S*- or *V*- type asteroids," leading to a value that is likely artificially blue. Licandro et al. (2008) reported spectra of 57 objects and from them derived S' = 4.0% (1000 Å)⁻¹ (again with no quoted uncertainty). The latter value of *S'* would correspond approximately to broadband colors B - V = 0.68, V - R = 0.39. However, both studies note that there is a trend for the colors to become more red as the Tisserand parameter decreases, consistent with dynamical inferences that the "comet-like orbits" are fed from a mix of cometary and asteroid-belt sources.

Jupiter Trojans: The Jovian Trojans have no known association with the Kuiper belt or Oort cloud comet reservoirs but we include them for reference because some models posit an origin by capture from the Kuiper belt (Nesvorný et al. 2013). We take the mean colors of Jovian Trojans from a large study by Szabó et al. (2007), who reported $B-V = 0.73 \pm 0.08$, $V-R = 0.45 \pm 0.08$, $R-I = 0.43 \pm 0.10$ (where the quoted uncertainties are the standard deviations, not the errors on the means). The Szabo et al. sample is very large ($N \sim 300$) and, as a result, the standard errors on the mean colors are unphysically small. We set the errors on the mean colors equal to ± 0.02 mag to reflect the likely presence of systematic errors of this order.

 Table 3

 Long Period Comet Photometry

N	Object	UT Date	Note ^a	$\phi^{\mathbf{b}}$	m_R^{c}	B-V	V - R	R-I	B-R
1	C/2003 A2 (Gleason)	2005 Jan 15	Е	6.0	19.96 ± 0.03	0.61 ± 0.04	0.47 ± 0.04	0.46 ± 0.04	1.08 ± 0.04
2	C/2004 D1 (NEAT)	2005 Jan 15	Е	6.0	17.54 ± 0.03	0.82 ± 0.05	0.43 ± 0.04	0.51 ± 0.04	1.25 ± 0.05
3	C/2006 S3 (Loneos)	2015 Feb 18	Е	8.1	17.57 ± 0.01	0.74 ± 0.01	0.58 ± 0.01		1.32 ± 0.02
4	C/2007 D1 (LINEAR)	2010 Mar 17	Е	5.4	18.85 ± 0.02	0.75 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.02	0.41 ± 0.03	1.19 ± 0.03
5	C/2008 S3 (Boattini)	2010 Aug 10	Е	6.8	18.57 ± 0.02	0.74 ± 0.05	0.48 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.04	1.22 ± 0.05
	"	2010 Sep 10	Е	8.2	18.23 ± 0.01	0.78 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.01	1.22 ± 0.02
6	C/2009 T1 (McNaught)	2011 Jan 30	Е	9.4	19.25 ± 0.03	0.64 ± 0.04	0.53 ± 0.03	0.45 ± 0.03	1.17 ± 0.03
7	C/2010 D4 (WISE)	2010 Sep 10	Р	8.0	20.91 ± 0.05	0.74 ± 0.07	0.46 ± 0.05		1.20 ± 0.05
8	C/2010 DG56 (WISE)	2010 Sep 10	Е	8.0	19.98 ± 0.03	0.77 ± 0.05	0.37 ± 0.05		1.14 ± 0.05
9	C/2010 L3 (Catalina)	2010 Sep 10	Е	5.6	19.94 ± 0.02	0.75 ± 0.03	0.42 ± 0.03	0.41 ± 0.03	1.17 ± 0.03
10	C/2010 U3 (Boattini)	2011 Jan 30	Е	9.4	20.09 ± 0.05	0.82 ± 0.05	0.48 ± 0.04	0.32 ± 0.05	1.30 ± 0.06
	"	2012 Oct 13	Е	6.8	20.04 ± 0.02	0.81 ± 0.03	0.54 ± 0.03		1.35 ± 0.03
	"	2012 Oct 14	Е	6.8	19.86 ± 0.02	0.72 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.03		1.25 ± 0.03
11	C/2011 Q1 (PANSTARRS)	2012 Oct 13	Е	6.8	20.98 ± 0.06	0.83 ± 0.05	0.51 ± 0.07		1.34 ± 0.07
	"	2012 Oct 14	Е	6.8	21.02 ± 0.02	0.81 ± 0.04	0.48 ± 0.03		1.29 ± 0.04
12	C/2012 A1 (PANSTARRS)	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.0	18.84 ± 0.02	0.74 ± 0.01	0.45 ± 0.02		1.19 ± 0.03
13	C/2012 E1 (Hill)	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.1	21.84 ± 0.05	0.79 ± 0.09	0.40 ± 0.07		1.19 ± 0.09
14	C/2012 K8 (Lemmon)	2012 Oct 13	Р	6.8		0.72 ± 0.01			
15	C/2012 LP26 (Palomar)	2012 Oct 13	Е	6.8	19.60 ± 0.03	0.88 ± 0.03	0.52 ± 0.04		1.40 ± 0.04
	,,	2012 Oct 14	Е	6.8	19.57 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.02	0.58 ± 0.01		1.49 ± 0.01
	"	2014 Feb 27	Е	8.1	19.12 ± 0.01	0.78 ± 0.02	0.45 ± 0.01		1.23 ± 0.02
16	2013 AZ60	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.1	18.85 ± 0.01	0.82 ± 0.01	0.54 ± 0.01		1.36 ± 0.01
17	C/2013 E1 (McNaught)	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.1	19.16 ± 0.02	0.75 ± 0.03	0.48 ± 0.03		1.23 ± 0.03
18	C/2013 H2 (Boattini)	2014 Feb 27	Е	8.1	18.80 ± 0.01	0.77 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.01		1.26 ± 0.02
19	2013 LD16	2014 Feb 27	Е	8.0	20.15 ± 0.02	0.86 ± 0.05	0.44 ± 0.03		1.30 ± 0.02
20	C/2013 P3 (Palomar)	2013 Oct 01	Е	8.1	19.78 ± 0.04	0.92 ± 0.05	0.45 ± 0.05		1.37 ± 0.06
21	C/2014 AA52 (CATALINA)	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.0	18.36 ± 0.02	0.77 ± 0.02	0.41 ± 0.02		1.18 ± 0.03
22	C/2014 B1 (Schwarz)	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.1	19.20 ± 0.02	0.85 ± 0.03	0.58 ± 0.03		1.43 ± 0.03
23	C/2014 R1 (Borisov)	2015 Feb 18	Е	8.1	15.40 ± 0.01	0.81 ± 0.01	0.46 ± 0.01		1.27 ± 0.01
24	C/2014 W6 (Catalina)	2015 Feb 18	Е	8.1	18.09 ± 0.01	0.81 ± 0.01	0.45 ± 0.01		1.26 ± 0.01
25	C/2014 XB8 (PANSTARRS)	2015 Feb 17	Е	5.4	20.92 ± 0.02	0.79 ± 0.03	0.44 ± 0.03		1.23 ± 0.03
26	C/2015 B1 (PANSTARRS)	2015 Feb 18	Е	8.1	19.51 ± 0.01	0.78 ± 0.01	0.45 ± 0.01		1.23 ± 0.01
	Sun ^d					0.64 ± 0.02	0.35 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.99 ± 0.02

^a Morphology; P = point source, E = extended.

^b Angular diameter of photometry aperture in arcsecond.

^c Apparent red magnitude inside aperture of diameter ϕ .

^d Holmberg et al. (2006).

Independent colors determined from a much smaller sample (N = 29), $B-V = 0.78 \pm 0.09$, $V-R = 0.45 \pm 0.05$, $R-I = 0.40 \pm 0.10$ are in agreement with the values by Szabo et al. (Fornasier et al. 2007).

Kuiper Belt Objects: The colors of Kuiper belt objects are distinguished by their extraordinary range (Luu & Jewitt 1996), and by the inclusion of some of the reddest material in the solar system (Jewitt 2002). Their colors have been compiled in numerous sources (e.g., Hainaut & Delsanti 2002; Hainaut et al. 2012). Here, we use the online compilation provided by Tegler, http://www.physics.nau.edu/~tegler/research/survey. htm, which is updated from a series of publications (most from Tegler & Romanishin 2000; Tegler et al. 2003) and has the advantages of uniformity and high quality. Note that the colors of Kuiper belt objects are employed only to provide context to the new measurements, and our conclusions would not be materially changed by the use of another Kuiper belt dataset. The mean colors of Kuiper belt objects are $B-V = 0.92 \pm$ 0.02, $V-R = 0.57 \pm 0.02$. It is well known that the KBO colors are diverse, and so we additionally compute colors for dynamical sub-groups (the hot and cold Classical KBOs, the 3:2 resonant Plutinos and the scattered KBOs), again using measurements from Tegler et al. for uniformity.

3. RESULTS

The color data are summarized in Table 10 where, for each color index and object type, we list the median color and the mean value together with the error on the mean and, in parentheses, the number of objects used. We note an agreeable concordance between the median and mean colors of most object classes, showing that the color distributions are not highly skewed (the main exception is found in the B-R color of the inactive Centaurs, with median and mean colors differing by nearly three times the error on the mean). We note that the listed uncertainties are typically ± 0.02 mag and larger, and that even the B-V color of the Sun has an uncertainty of 0.02 mag (Holmberg et al. 2006).

We are first interested to know if the measured colors of the LPCs might be influenced by the angular sizes of the apertures used to extract photometry. If so, the colors of objects measured using fixed angle apertures might appear

Table 4Damocloid Orbital Elements

Ν	Object	q^{a}	a ^b	e ^c	i^{d}	T_P^{e}
1	2010 BK118	6.106	452.642	0.987	143.9	2012 Apr 30.2
2	2010 OM101	2.129	26.403	0.919	118.7	2010 Oct 01.4
3	2010 OR1	2.052	27.272	0.925	143.9	2010 Jul 12.5
4	2012 YO6	3.304	6.339	0.479	106.9	2012 Jul 30.3
5	2013 NS11	2.700	12.646	0.786	130.4	2014 Sep 26.2
6	2013 YG48	2.024	8.189	0.753	61.3	2014 Mar 11.6
7	2014 CW14	4.235	19.810	0.786	170.6	2014 Dec 23.4
8	330759 (2008 SO218)	3.546	8.148	0.565	170.4	2009 Dec 31.2
9	336756 (2010 NV1)	9.417	292.030	0.968	140.8	2010 Dec 13.2
10	342842 (2008 YB3)	6.487	11.651	0.443	105.0	2011 Mar 01.7
11	418993 (2009 MS9)	11.004	387.251	0.972	68.0	2013 Feb 11.7

^a Perihelion distance, AU.

^b Orbital semimajor axis, AU.

^c Orbital eccentricity.

^d Orbital inclination, degree.

^e Date of perihelion.

Table 5	
Damocloid Observational Geometry	/

Ν	Object	UT Date	r_H^{a}	$\Delta^{\mathbf{b}}$	α^{c}
1	2010 BK118	2011 Jan 30	6.855	7.079	7.9
	,,	2010 Sep 10	7.330	6.825	7.1
2	2010 OM101	2010 Aug 10	2.208	1.780	26.8
	,,	2010 Sep 10	2.143	1.469	24.4
3	2010 OR1	2010 Aug 10	2.079	1.278	22.0
	,,	2010 Sep 10	2.164	1.254	15.1
4	2012 YO6	2013 Oct 01	4.243	3.938	13.4
5	2013 NS11	2014 Feb 26	3.312	2.813	16.1
6	2013 YG48	2014 Feb 26	2.028	1.268	22.8
	,,	2014 Feb 27	2.028	1.278	23.2
7	2014 CW14	2014 Feb 26	4.770	3.845	4.7
8	330759 (2008 SO218)	2010 Sep 10	3.937	3.197	11.0
9	336756 (2010 NV1)	2010 Aug 10	9.441	8.851	5.2
10	342842 (2008 YB3)	2014 Feb 26	7.998	7.609	6.7
11	418993 (2009 MS9)	2010 Sep 10	11.886	11.553	4.6

Notes.

^a Heliocentric distance, AU.

^c Phase angle, degree.

to depend on geocentric distance. Color gradients are expected if, for example, the nuclei and the dust coma of a given object have intrinsically different colors, or if the particles properties change with time since release from the nucleus. In almost every comet observed here, inspection of the surface brightness profiles shows that the cross-section in the photometry aperture is dominated by dust, not by the geometric cross-section of the central nucleus. We also note that spectra of distant comets are invariably continuum dominated (indeed, gaseous emission lines are usually not even detected, e.g., Ivanova et al. 2015) because of the strong inverse distance dependence of the resonance-fluorescence line strength.

We compare measurements of active comets using apertures having different angular radii in Table 11 and show them graphically in Figure 2. To maximize the color differences and show the results most clearly, we consider only the B - R color index. The data show that real color variations with angular

radius do exist in some active comets but that these variations are small, never larger than 0.1 mag in B - R over the range of radii sampled and more typically only a few $\times 0.01$ mag. Moreover, the color gradients with angular radius can be positive (as in C/2006 S3) or negative (e.g., C/2014 XB8). These findings are consistent with measurements reported for five active Centaurs (Jewitt 2009). For the comets in Figure 2, radial color variations are likely to result from small changes in the particle properties as a function of the time since release from the nucleus. For example, dust fragmentation and/or the loss of embedded volatiles could cause color gradients across the comae. Here, we merely note the possible existence of these effects and remark on their evident small size. There is no evidence from the measured comets that the comae are systematically different from the central nuclei.

Figure 3 shows B-R of the comets as a function of the heliocentric distance, r_H , including data from Solontoi et al. (2012) for comets inside Jupiter's orbit. The figure shows no evidence for a trend, consistent with Jewitt & Meech (1988), Solontoi et al. (2012) but over a much larger range of heliocentric distances (~1 to ~12 AU) that straddles the water ice sublimation zone near 5–6 AU.

Figure 4 shows the B - R color of the comets as a function of the perihelion distance, q. Clearly, there is no discernible relation between B - R and q. This is significant because, as noted above, the mechanism for mass loss likely changes with increasing distance from the Sun. Comets active beyond the orbit of Jupiter are likely to be activated by the sublimation of ices more volatile than water and/or by different processes (for example, the exothermic crystallization of ice). The mean colors of the active SPCs and LPCs are indistinguishable in the figure, mirroring the absence of significant compositional differences between these two groups in measurements of gas-phase species (A'Hearn et al. 2012).

The LPC colors are compared with the colors of Kuiper belt objects in Figure 5. The color of the Sun (Holmberg et al. 2006) is shown as a yellow circle. While both sets of objects fall on the same color–color line, the comets show no evidence for redder colors ($B - R \ge 1.50$, $B - V \ge 0.95$) that are commonly found in members of the Kuiper belt. Figure 5 shows that the LPCs are devoid of the ultrared matter. The significance of the

^b Geocentric distance, AU.

Table 6	
Damocloid Photometry	

Ν	Object	UT Date	Note ^a	ϕ^{b}	m_R^{c}	B - V	V - R	R-I	B-R
1	2010 BK118	2011 Jan 30	Р	5.4	18.97 ± 0.02	0.76 ± 0.03	0.57 ± 0.03	0.51 ± 0.03	1.33 ± 0.03
	"	2010 Sep 10	Р	8.0	20.06 ± 0.02	0.80 ± 0.02	0.52 ± 0.02	0.45 ± 0.03	1.32 ± 0.03
2	2010 OM101	2010 Aug 10	Р	5.6	20.64 ± 0.03	0.81 ± 0.04	0.53 ± 0.04		1.34 ± 0.05
	"	2010 Sep 10	Р	5.6	19.94 ± 0.07	0.77 ± 0.07	0.69 ± 0.07	0.36 ± 0.07	1.46 ± 0.07
3	2010 OR1	2010 Aug 10	Р	6.8	18.94 ± 0.02	0.76 ± 0.03	0.52 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.04	1.28 ± 0.04
	"	2010 Sep 10	Р	8.0	19.97 ± 0.02	0.81 ± 0.04	0.51 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.02	1.32 ± 0.03
4	2012 Y06	2013 Oct 01	Р	8.0	21.59 ± 0.05			0.34 ± 0.05	1.32 ± 0.05
5	2013 NS11	2014 Feb 26	Р	8.0	19.12 ± 0.03	0.74 ± 0.02	0.56 ± 0.04		1.30 ± 0.03
6	2013 YG48	2014 Feb 26	Р	8.0	20.13 ± 0.02	0.77 ± 0.02	0.55 ± 0.02		1.32 ± 0.02
	"	2014 Feb 27	Р	5.4	19.94 ± 0.01	0.83 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.02		1.31 ± 0.02
7	2014 CW14	2014 Feb 26	Р	8.0	20.97 ± 0.02	0.87 ± 0.09	0.51 ± 0.06		1.38 ± 0.07
8	330759 (2008 SO218)	2010 Sep 10	Р	5.6	18.81 ± 0.01	0.89 ± 0.03	0.55 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.04	1.44 ± 0.02
9	336756 (2010 NV1)	2010 Aug 10	Р	8.0	20.31 ± 0.02	0.79 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.03	0.39 ± 0.02	1.32 ± 0.03
10	342842 (2008 YB3)	2014 Feb 26	Р	8.0	18.35 ± 0.01	0.74 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.02		1.25 ± 0.02
11	418993 (2009 MS9)	2010 Sep 10	Р	3.8	20.79 ± 0.04	0.84 ± 0.04	0.52 ± 0.04		1.36 ± 0.04
	Sun ^d					0.64 ± 0.02	0.35 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.99 ± 0.02

¹ Morphology; P = point source, E = extended.

^b Angular diameter of photometry aperture in arcsecond.

^c Apparent red magnitude measured in aperture of diameter ϕ .

^d Holmberg et al. (2006).

	Table 7 Centaur Orbital Elements								
N	Object	q^{a}	a ^b	e ^c	i^{d}	T_P^{e}			
1	2001 XZ255	15.476	16.026	0.034	2.6	1986 May 06.9			
2	2010 BL4	8.573	18.534	0.537	20.8	2009 Jul 27.1			
3	2010 WG9	18.763	53.729	0.651	70.2	2006 Feb 20.4			
4	2013 BL76	8.374	1216.0	0.993	98.6	2012 Oct 27.7			
5	2014 HY123	6.998	18.552	0.623	14.0	2017 Jan 08.1			
6	2015 CM3	6.784	13.182	0.485	19.7	2013 Apr 26.0			
7	32532 Thereus (2001 PT13)	8.513	10.615	0.198	20.4	1999 Feb 12.0			
8	148975 (2001 XA255)	9.338	29.617	0.685	12.6	2010 Jun 19.2			
9	160427 (2005 RL43)	23.449	24.550	0.045	12.3	1991 Sep 13.6			
10	433873 (2015 BQ311)	5.051	7.140	0.293	24.5	2007 Oct 16.1			
11	C/2011 P2 (PANSTARRS)	6.148	9.756	0.370	9.0	2010 Sep 13.5			
12	P/2011 S1 (Gibbs)	6.897	8.655	0.203	2.7	2014 Aug 20.2			
13	C/2012 Q1 (Kowalski)	9.482	26.154	0.637	45.2	2012 Feb 09.4			
14	C/2013 C2 (Tenagra)	9.132	15.993	0.429	21.3	2015 Aug 28.6			
15	C/2013 P4 (PANSTARRS)	5.967	14.779	0.596	4.3	2014 Aug 12.4			
16	166P/NEAT	8.564	13.883	0.383	15.4	2002 May 20.8			
17	167P/CINEOS	11.784	16.141	0.270	19.1	2001 Apr 10.0			

Notes.

^a Perihelion distance, AU.

^b Orbital semimajor axis, AU.

^c Orbital eccentricity.

^d Orbital inclination, degree. ^e Epoch of perihelion.

difference is self-evident from the figure. It can be simply quantified by noting that none of the 26 measured LPCs has colors redder than the median color of cold classical KBOs. The probability of finding this asymmetric distribution by chance is $(1/2)^{26} \sim 10^{-8}$, by the definition of the median. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test applied to the color data shows that the likelihood that the B-R distributions of the LPCs and KBOs are drawn from the same parent distribution is <0.001, confirming a $>3\sigma$ difference.

The colors of the inactive (point-source) Centaurs (blue circles) are plotted with their formal uncertainties in Figure 6, where they are compared with the color distribution of the Kuiper belt objects (gray circles) taken from Tegler. The mean colors of the inactive Centaurs are $B - V = 0.93 \pm 0.04$ (29), $V-R = 0.55 \pm 0.03$ (29) and $B-R = 1.47 \pm 0.06$ (N = 32), overlapping the mean colors of the KBOs $(B-V = 0.91 \pm 0.02, V-R = 0.57 \pm 0.01 \text{ and } B-R =$ 1.48 ± 0.03). Not only do the mean colors agree, but

 Table 8

 Centaur Observational Geometry

Ν	Object	UT Date	r_H^{a}	$\Delta^{\mathbf{b}}$	α^{c}
1	2001 XZ255	2003 Jan 09	16.079	15.096	0.1
2	2010 BL4	2010	8.632	8.017	5.4
		Mar 17			
3	2010 WG9	2011 Jan 29	19.621	19.161	2.6
4	2013 BL76	2013 Oct 01	8.610	7.627	1.3
5	2014 HY123	2015 Feb 17	7.818	6.838	1.0
6	2015 CM3	2015 Feb 17	7.417	6.540	3.7
7	32532 Thereus (2001 PT13)	2007 Feb 20	10.792	11.233	4.6
	**	2015 Feb 17	12.703	12.087	3.6
8	148975 (2001 XA255)	2003 Jan 09	14.965	13.982	0.1
9	160427 (2005 RL43)	2014 Feb 26	24.142	24.544	2.1
10	433873 (2015 BQ311)	2015 Feb 17	8.888	7.944	2.0
11	C/2011 P2 (PANSTARRS)	2014 Oct 22	8.412	7.475	2.4
12	P/2011 S1 (Gibbs)	2014 Feb 26	6.914	7.398	6.9
13	C/2012 Q1 (Kowalski)	2012 Oct 13	9.546	8.837	4.4
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2012 Oct 14	9.546	8.848	4.4
	"	2013 Oct 01	9.849	8.903	2.0
14	C/2013 C2 (Tenagra)	2014 Feb 26	9.355	8.478	3.0
15	C/2013 P4 (PANSTARRS)	2014 Oct 22	5.980	5.015	2.5
16	166P/NEAT	2015 Feb 17	15.640	14.700	1.1
17	167P/CINEOS	2010 Sep 10	14.415	13.481	1.6
	, ,,	2012 Oct 13	15.332	14.398	1.3

^a Heliocentric distance, AU.

^b Geocentric distance, AU.

^c Phase angle, degree.

Figure 6 shows that the inactive Centaurs and the KBOs span the same large range of colors. The inactive Centaurs, however, show B-V, V-R colors that are bimodally clustered toward the ends of the color distribution defined by the KBOs, with few examples near the mean color of the KBOs. This bimodality was noted by Peixinho et al. (2003), Tegler et al. (2003) and Peixinho et al. (2012), and is an unexplained but apparently real feature of the Centaur color distribution. It indicates that there are two surface types with few intermediate examples.

By comparison, the mean colors of the active Centaurs are less red, with $B-V = 0.80 \pm 0.03$, $V-R = 0.50 \pm 0.03$, $B-R = 1.30 \pm 0.05$, while comparison of Figures 6 and 7 shows that the distribution of the colors is qualitatively different from that of the inactive Centaurs. The active Centaur distribution is unimodal, with most of the active Centaurs being less red than the average color of the KBOs. The B-R color distributions are compared as a histogram in Figure 8.

We estimate the statistical significance of the difference between the active Centaurs and the KBOs using the nonparametric KS test to compare B-R. Based on this test, the likelihood that the two populations could be drawn by chance from a single parent population is ~6%. In a Gaussian distribution, this would correspond roughly to a 2σ (95%) difference. Thus, while Figure 7 is suggestive, we cannot yet formally conclude at the 3σ level of confidence that the active and inactive Centaurs have different color distributions.

Figure 9 shows the Damocloids on the Kuiper belt color field plot. The new data confirm the absence of ultrared matter previously noted in the Damocloid population (c.f. Jewitt 2005) but in a sample that is twice as large.

4. DISCUSSION

The summary data from Table 10 are plotted in Figure 10. Also plotted for reference in Figure 10 are the colors of common asteroid spectral types, tabulated by Dandy et al. (2003). The solid line in color-color plot Figure 10 is the reddening line for objects having linear reflectivity gradients, $S' = dS/d\lambda$ = constant, where S is the normalized ratio of the object brightness to the solar brightness (Jewitt & Meech 1988). Numbers along the line show the magnitude of S', in units of % $(1000 \text{ Å})^{-1}$. Deviations from the line indicate spectral curvature, with objects below the line having concave reflection spectra across the B to R wavelengths $(d^2S/d\lambda^2 > 0)$ while those above it are convex $(d^2S/d\lambda^2 < 0)$. The figure shows that, whereas the reflection spectra of many common asteroid types are concave in the B-R region (as a result of broad absorption bands), the comet-related populations all fall close to the reddening line, consistent with having linear reflectivity spectra. We emphasize that the reddening line has zero free parameters and is not a fit to the data. That the mean color measurements fall within a few hundredths of a magnitude from the reddening line gives us confidence that the uncertainties (which are on the same order) have been correctly estimated. However, it is important to remember that these are the average colors of each group, and that individual objects can have colors and spectral curvatures widely different from the average values.

The comet-related populations, including the active comets themselves, are systematically redder than the C-complex asteroids (C, B, F, G) that are abundant members of the outer asteroid belt. The Jovian Trojans, the least-red of the plotted objects, have mean optical colors that are identical to those of the D-type asteroids within the uncertainties of measurement. The most red objects in Figure 10 are the low-inclination $(i \leq 2^{\circ})$ Classical KBOs.

4.1. The Absence of Blue Scattering in Cometary Dust

We find no significant difference between the mean optical colors of dust in short-period (Kuiper belt) and long-period (Oort cloud) comets. Comparable uniformity is observed in the gas phase compositions of comets, where significant abundance differences exist but are uncorrelated with the dynamical type (Cochran et al. 2015). In both dust and gas, relative uniformity of the properties is consistent with radial mixing of the source materials in the protoplanetary disk, and with population of the Kuiper belt and Oort cloud from overlapping regions of this disk.

We expect that the colors of cometary dust should become more blue with increasing heliocentric distance, for two reasons. First, the size of the largest particle that can be accelerated to the nucleus escape velocity is a strong function of the gas flow and hence of the heliocentric distance. At large distances the mean size of the ejected particles should fall into the optically small regime (defined for a sphere of radius *a* by x < 1, where $x = 2\pi a/\lambda$ is the ratio of the particle circumference to the wavelength of observation), leading to nongeometric scattering effects that include anomalous (blue) colors (Bohren & Huffman 1983; Brown 2014). Second, ice grains have been detected spectrally in comets (e.g., Kawakita et al. 2004; Yang et al. 2009, 2014) and should be more abundant in distant comets as a result of their lower temperatures, reduced sublimation rates and longer lifetimes.

				Centa	ur Photometry				
N	Object	UT Date	Note ^a	ϕ^{b}	m_R^{c}	B-V	V - R	R-I	B-R
1	2001 XZ255	2003 Jan 09	Р	5.4	22.43 ± 0.03	1.36 ± 0.05	0.68 ± 0.05		2.04 ± 0.05
2	2010 BL4	2010 Mar 17	Р	5.4	21.18 ± 0.03	0.86 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.03	0.47 ± 0.03	1.25 ± 0.03
3	2010 WG9	2011 Jan 29	Р	9.4	20.92 ± 0.02	0.73 ± 0.05	0.37 ± 0.04		1.10 ± 0.05
4	2013 BL76	2013 Oct 01	Р	8.0	19.78 ± 0.04	0.92 ± 0.05	0.45 ± 0.05		1.37 ± 0.06
5	2014 HY123	2015 Feb 17	Р	5.4	20.03 ± 0.02	0.67 ± 0.05	0.49 ± 0.02		1.16 ± 0.06
6	2015 CM3	2015 Feb 17	Р	8.2	20.99 ± 0.04	1.21 ± 0.06	0.57 ± 0.05		1.78 ± 0.06
7	32532 Thereus (2001 PT13)	2007 Feb 20	Р	5.4	19.90 ± 0.02		0.41 ± 0.05	0.43 ± 0.05	•••
	"	2015 Feb 17	Р	5.4	20.00 ± 0.02	0.77 ± 0.03	0.45 ± 0.03		1.22 ± 0.03
8	148975 (2001 XA255)	2003 Jan 09	Р	5.4	22.35 ± 0.03	0.81 ± 0.05	0.68 ± 0.05	0.44 ± 0.05	1.49 ± 0.05
9	160427 (2005 RL43)	2014 Feb 26	Р	8.0	21.39 ± 0.05	1.12 ± 0.04	0.73 ± 0.06		1.85 ± 0.05
10	433873 (2015 BQ311)	2015 Feb 17	Р	5.4	21.43 ± 0.02	0.85 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.03		1.25 ± 0.03
11	C/2011 P2 (PANSTARRS)	2014 Oct 22	Е	2.7	22.18 ± 0.04	0.81 ± 0.06	0.43 ± 0.05		1.24 ± 0.07
	,,		Е	5.4	22.11 ± 0.03	1.00 ± 0.05	0.21 ± 0.05		1.21 ± 0.05
	"		Е	8.2	22.04 ± 0.05	0.93 ± 0.06	0.33 ± 0.05		1.26 ± 0.06
12	P/2011 S1 (Gibbs)	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.0	20.55 ± 0.03	0.96 ± 0.11	0.59 ± 0.03		1.55 ± 0.11
13	C/2012 Q1 (Kowalski)	2012 Oct 13	Е	13.6	19.60 ± 0.03	0.88 ± 0.03	0.52 ± 0.04		1.40 ± 0.04
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2012 Oct 14	Е	13.6	19.57 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.02	0.58 ± 0.01		1.49 ± 0.01
	"	2013 Oct 01	Е	2.7	20.64 ± 0.01	0.85 ± 0.03	0.54 ± 0.02		1.39 ± 0.03
	"	2013 Oct 01	Е	5.4	20.23 ± 0.01	0.94 ± 0.03	0.51 ± 0.02		1.45 ± 0.03
	"	2013 Oct 01	Е	8.2	19.96 ± 0.01	0.95 ± 0.03	0.50 ± 0.02		1.45 ± 0.03
	"	2013 Oct 01	Е	10.8	19.80 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.03	0.52 ± 0.02		1.43 ± 0.03
14	C/2013 C2	2014 Feb 26	Е	2.7	19.51 ± 0.02	0.87 ± 0.02	0.55 ± 0.02		1.42 ± 0.02
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2014 Feb 26	Е	5.4	19.14 ± 0.02	0.88 ± 0.02	0.54 ± 0.02		1.42 ± 0.02
	"	2014 Feb 26	Е	8.0	18.91 ± 0.02	0.90 ± 0.02	0.52 ± 0.02		1.42 ± 0.02
	"	2014 Feb 26	Е	10.8	18.77 ± 0.02	0.90 ± 0.02	0.52 ± 0.02		1.42 ± 0.02
15	C/2013 P4	2014 Oct 22	Е	2.7	19.78 ± 0.02	0.82 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.02		1.31 ± 0.02
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Е	2.7	19.25 ± 0.02	0.83 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.02		1.31 ± 0.02
	"		Е	4.0	18.99 ± 0.02	0.83 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.02		1.32 ± 0.02
16	166P/NEAT	2015 Feb 17	Е	2.7	23.16 ± 0.02	0.89 ± 0.11	0.56 ± 0.03		1.45 ± 0.11
17	167P/CINEOS	2010 Sep 10	Е	8.2	20.89 ± 0.03	0.80 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.03	0.45 ± 0.03	1.37 ± 0.04
	, ,,	2012 Oct 13	Е	13.6	21.21 ± 0.03	0.68 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.05		1.12 ± 0.04
	Sun ^d	•••				0.64 ± 0.02	0.35 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.99 ± 0.02

Table 9

Notes.

^a Morphology; P = point source, E = extended.

^b Angular diameter of photometry aperture in arcsecond.

 $^{\rm c}$ Apparent red magnitude measured in aperture of diameter $\phi.$

^d Holmberg et al. (2006).

Pure ice grains are bluer than more refractory silicate and carbonaceous solids and thus the average color should again become more blue with increasing distance from the Sun. Indeed, Hartmann & Cruikshank (1984) reported a color-distance gradient in comets but this has not been independently confirmed (Jewitt & Meech 1988; Solontoi et al. 2012) and is absent in the present work (Figure 3). While one might expect that the dust in distant comets should begin to show blue colors consistent with small-particle scattering and with an increasing ice fraction, this is not observed. We briefly discuss why this might be so.

With $\lambda = 0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$, $x \sim 1$ corresponds to particle radii $a_c \sim 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$. Larger particles scatter in proportion to their physical cross-section while in smaller particles the scattering cross-section also depends on the wavelength. The limiting case is Rayleigh scattering, reached in the limit $x \ll 1$. The absence of a color-distance trend in comets is most simply interpreted as evidence that the weighted mean particle size, \bar{a} , satisfies $\bar{a} > a_c$. The scattered intensity from a collection of particles is weighted by the size distribution n(a)da (equal to the number of dust grains having radii in the range *a* to a + da), the individual cross sections ($\propto Q(a)a^2$), where Q(a) is the dimensionless scattering efficiency (c.f. Bohren &

Huffman 1983) and to the residence time, t, in the aperture used to measure the brightness. The latter depends inversely on the speed of the ejected particles, $t \propto v^{-1}$. Under gas drag acceleration, $v \propto a^{-1/2}$ leading to $t \propto a^{1/2}$. Larger, slower particles spend longer in the photometry aperture than smaller, faster particles and so are numerically over-represented in proportion to $a^{1/2}$.

Accordingly, we write the weighted mean particle size (Jewitt et al. 2014)

$$\overline{a} = \frac{\int_{a_0}^{a_1} aQ(a)\pi a^{5/2}n(a)da}{\int_{a_0}^{a_1} Q(a)\pi a^{5/2}n(a)da}$$
(2)

in which a_0 and a_1 are the minimum and maximum sizes in the distribution. The exact form of Q(a) depends not only on the size, but on the shape, porosity and complex refractive index of the particle, none of which are known from observations. The limiting cases are Q(a) = 1 for $x \gg 1$ and $Q(a) \sim x^{-4}$ (Rayleigh scattering) for $x \ll 1$.

Two illustrative solutions to Equation (2) are shown in Figure 11. For both, we represent the size distribution by n(a) $da = \Gamma a^{-\gamma} da$, with Γ and γ constants. Detailed measurements

		6000 60	mparison		
Object	B-V	V-R	R-I	B-R	Source
Cold Classical KBOs (Cold CKBO)	1.09, 1.06 \pm 0.02(13)	$0.64, 0.66\pm 0.02(13)$	N/A	$1.73,1.72\pm0.02(13)$	Tegler online
Mean KBO Colors	$0.93, 0.92\pm 0.02(85)$	$0.57,0.57\pm0.02(85)$	N/A	$1.52,1.49\pm0.03(85)$	Tegler online
Plutinos	$0.94, 0.93\pm 0.04(25)$	$0.58,0.56\pm0.03(25)$	N/A	1.52, 1.49 \pm 0.06(25)	Tegler online
Inactive Centaurs	$0.85, 0.93\pm 0.04(29)$	$0.51, 0.55\pm 0.03(29)$	$0.44, 0.45\pm 0.02(3)$	1.30, 1.47 \pm 0.06(32)	Table 9 + Peixinho et al. (2003, 2012)
Hot Classical KBOs (Hot CKBO)	$0.95, 0.89\pm 0.05(14)$	$0.57, 0.54\pm 0.04(14)$	N/A	1.52, 1.44 \pm 0.08(14)	Tegler online
Scattered KBOs (SKBO)	$0.82, 0.84\pm 0.03(20)$	$0.54, 0.53\pm 0.02(20)$	N/A	1.38, 1.37 \pm 0.05(20)	Tegler online
JFC Nuclei	$0.80, 0.87\pm 0.05(16)$	$0.49, 0.50\pm 0.03(16)$	$0.47,0.46\pm0.03(12)$	1.31, 1.37 \pm 0.08(12)	Lamy & Toth (2009)
Damocloids	$0.79,0.80\pm0.02(20)$	$0.51, 0.51\pm 0.02(21)$	$0.48,0.47\pm0.02(15)$	1.31, 1.31 \pm 0.02(20)	Table $6 +$ Jewitt (2005)
Active Centaurs	$0.80, 0.80\pm 0.03(12)$	$0.51, 0.50\pm 0.03(13)$	$0.57,0.57\pm0.03(8)$	$1.29, 1.30 \pm 0.05(12)$	Table $9 +$ Jewitt (2009)
Active LPC	$0.77,0.78\pm0.02(25)$	$0.46,0.47\pm0.02(24)$	$0.41,0.42\pm0.03(7)$	1.23, 1.24 \pm 0.02(25)	Table 3
Active JFC	$0.74,0.75\pm0.02(26)$	$0.46,0.47\pm0.02(26)$	$0.44, 0.43\pm 0.02(26)$	1.21, 1.22 \pm 0.02(26)	Solontoi et al. (2012)
LPC Nuclei	$0.76,0.77\pm0.02(5)$	$0.45,0.44\pm0.02(5)$	$0.43, 0.44\pm 0.02(5)$	$1.22,1.22\pm0.03(5)$	Lamy & Toth (2009)
Jupiter Trojans ^e	$0.73 \pm 0.02 ({\sim}1000)$	$0.45\pm0.02 ({\sim}1000)$	$0.43 \pm 0.02 ({\sim}1000)$	$1.18 \pm 0.02 ({\sim}1000)$	Szabó et al. (2007)
Sun	0.64 ± 0.02	0.35 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.99 ± 0.02	Holmberg et al. (2006)

Table 10Color Comparison^{a,b}

Notes.

^a For each object group we list the median color, the mean color with its $\pm 1\sigma$ standard error, and the number of measurements.

^b Ordered by mean B - R color.

^c The Trojan data are presented in such a way that we cannot determine the median or error on the mean directly; we set the latter equal to ± 0.02 mag to reflect likely systematic errors in transforming from the Sloan filter system to BVRI.

Table 11 $B-R$ vs. Aperture Radius ^a				
Object	$\phi = 1.35$	$\phi=2\rlap.''70$	$\phi = 4.05$	$\phi = 5.40$
C/2006 S3	1.08 ± 0.02	1.13 ± 0.02	1.16 ± 0.02	1.16 ± 0.02
C/2012 Q1	1.39 ± 0.05	1.45 ± 0.01	1.45 ± 0.01	1.43 ± 0.01
C/2013 C2	1.42 ± 0.01	1.42 ± 0.01	1.42 ± 0.01	1.42 ± 0.01
C/2014 W6	1.20 ± 0.04	1.23 ± 0.04	1.25 ± 0.04	1.21 ± 0.04
C/2014 XB8	1.21 ± 0.03	1.20 ± 0.03	1.14 ± 0.03	1.12 ± 0.03
C/2014 R1	1.24 ± 0.01	1.27 ± 0.01	1.27 ± 0.01	1.28 ± 0.01
C/2015 B2	1.21 ± 0.02	1.24 ± 0.02	1.23 ± 0.02	1.24 ± 0.02

Note.

^a We list the mean B - R color with its $\pm 1\sigma$ standard error. The columns show the angular radii of the circular apertures used to take the measurements, in arcseconds.

show size-dependent deviations from power-law behavior, but measured indices are typically in the range $3 < \gamma < 4$, with an average near $\gamma = 3.5$, which we take as our nominal value (e.g., Grün et al. 2001; Pozuelos et al. 2014). We assume that Q = 1for $a \ge 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $Q = x^4$ otherwise. We set $a_0 = 10^{-9} \,\text{m}$ based on measurements from impact detectors on spacecraft near $r_H \sim 1$ AU (e.g., Hörz et al. 2006). Smaller particles may exist (although 10^{-9} m is already approaching the dimensions of a big molecule) but the choice of a_0 is not critical because $Q(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. We evaluate two cases, for $a_1 = 10$ and 1000 μ m, to illustrate the effect of the upper size limit, which is itself set by the rate of sublimation and therefore by the heliocentric distance. In equilibrium sublimation of exposed, perfectly absorbing water ice, for example, 10 μ m particles can be ejected against gravity by gas drag from a 2 km radius nucleus out to $r_H \sim 4.6 \text{ AU}$ while 1000 μm particles are ejected out to only $r_H \sim 3$ AU.

The figure shows that, when the inefficiency of small particle scattering is included, the inequality $\overline{a} < a_c$ is never reached.



Figure 2. The B - R color as a function of projected aperture radius for active comets.

Physically, this is because the optically small particles, although very numerous, are not abundant enough to dominate the scattering cross-section, which therefore reflects optically



Figure 3. B - R color of LPCs vs. heliocentric distance in AU, from Table 3 (red circles) and comets from the SLOAN survey by Solontoi et al. (2012). The latter are divided into short period (green circles) and long-period (orange circles) comets.



Figure 4. B - R color vs. perihelion distance for LPCs from Table 3 (red circles) and comets from the SLOAN survey by Solontoi et al. (2012). The latter are divided into short period (green circles) and long-period (orange circles) comets. No evidence for a color vs. perihelion distance trend is apparent.

large, x > 1, particles. This result has been independently reached from impact counter measurements on spacecraft flying through the comae of active comets (Kolokolova et al. 2004 and references therein). The figure shows that the result is generally true, even in the most distant, least active comets.

The role of ice grains in determining the colors of comets also seems to be limited. One notable exception is provided by 17P/Holmes, which displayed a blue reflection spectrum in the near infrared ($1 \le \lambda \le 2.4 \mu m$) due to small ice grains (Yang et al. 2009). The exceptional behavior of this comet is likely a transient consequence of its massive outburst, which released abundant, but short-lived ice grains from cold regions beneath the nucleus surface. Even in 17P/Holmes, the Yang et al. water ice absorption bands have depths equal to only a few percent of the local continuum, showing dilution of the scattered blue light from small particles by spectrally bland (non-ice) coma dust.



Figure 5. Color–color plot comparing LPCs from Table 3 (red circles) with Kuiper belt objects (gray circles) measured by Tegler. The color of the Sun is marked by a yellow circle.



Figure 6. Color–color diagram comparing the inactive Centaurs (blue) with Kuiper belt objects (gray). The yellow circle shows the color of the Sun.



Figure 7. Color–color diagram comparing the active Centaurs (red) with Kuiper belt objects (gray). The yellow circle shows the color of the Sun.



Figure 8. Histogram of the B - R colors of active (black) and inactive (yellow) Centaurs.



Figure 9. Color–color diagram comparing the Damocloids (red circles) with Kuiper belt objects. The yellow circle shows the color of the Sun.

In the simplest, "classical" model (whose origin lies with Whipple's (1950) epochal paper) the largest particle that can be lifted from the surface is defined by setting the gas drag force equal to the gravitational force toward the nucleus. In this model, with gas supplied by the sublimation of crystalline water ice, activity is confined to distances less than or comparable to the ~5 AU radius Jupiter's orbit. Activity in comets with perihelia \gtrsim 5 AU requires the sublimation of a more volatile ice (e.g., CO₂ or CO) or the action of another

process (e.g., exothermic crystallization of amorphous water ice).

However, many authors (most recently Gundlach et al. 2015) point out that the classical model incorrectly neglects the effects of particle cohesion, which should be particularly effective in binding small particles to the nucleus surface. In their model, the size distribution of escaping grains is biased toward larger sizes, because of cohesive forces. This is qualitatively consistent with the absence of color evidence for blue colors and optically small particles in comets. However, their "sticky particle" model (see their Figure 3) predicts that cohesive forces are so strong that no particles of any size can be ejected by water ice sublimation beyond $r_H \sim 2.5$ AU and even the more effusive sublimation of super-volatile carbon monoxide ice cannot eject grains beyond $r_H \sim 5$ AU. The activity observed in the distant comets of Table 2 is thus entirely unexplained by their model.

4.2. Active Centaurs

Figure 12 shows the Centaurs in the semimajor axis versus orbital eccentricity plane. The observed Centaurs from Table 9, and from Peixinho et al. (2003, 2012), Jewitt (2009) and Tegler, are plotted with color-coding such that point-source red Centaurs (B-R > 1.6) and blue Centaurs $(B-R \le 1.6)$ are distinguished by small red and blue circle symbols, respectively. Active Centaurs (from Table 9 and Jewitt 2009), all of which have $B - R \leq 1.6$, are shown as large yellow circles. The orbital locations of all known JFCs (specifically, comets with $2 \leq T_{\rm J} \leq 3$) and all known Centaurs, are marked as ocean green diamonds and gray circles, respectively. The figure shows that of the known active Centaurs all but one (167P) are found with perihelia between the orbital distances of Jupiter and Saturn, whereas inactive Centaurs are observed to nearly the orbit of Neptune. The KS test was used to compare the perihelion distance distribution of the active Centaurs with that of the entire known Centaur population. By this test there is a 0.2% likelihood of these distributions being consistent, corresponding to a 3.2σ significant difference. While there is an observational selection effect against the detection of coma in more distant objects, models of this selection effect by Jewitt (2009) suggest that it cannot account for the apparent concentration of the active Centaurs with perihelia $q \leq 10$ AU. As in Jewitt (2009), then, we conclude that the active Centaurs tend to be those with smaller perihelion distances, as expected for activity driven by a thermal process.

The onset of activity near the 10 AU orbit of Saturn has two implications. First, these Centaurs must have formed at larger distances, for otherwise their surfaces would have been devolatilized at formation and they would not reactivate upon returning to 10 AU. This is probably consistent with most current solar system dynamical models (e.g., Nice model) in which strong radial mixing is an important feature; the active Centaurs set one small constraint on the degree of mixing.

Second, for activity to start as far out as ~10 AU requires another volatile or a different process, since crystalline water ice sublimates negligibly at this distance. (The upper axis of Figure 13 shows, for reference, the spherical blackbody equilibrium temperature, calculated from $T_{\rm BB} = 278 \ q^{-1/2}$ K. This is a lower limit to the surface temperature, while an upper limit is set by the subsolar temperature, $T_{\rm SS} = \sqrt{2} T_{\rm BB}$.) Supervolatile ices including CO₂ and CO could also drive mass loss at 10 AU if exposed to the heat of the Sun. However,



Figure 10. Color–color diagram showing the locations of various small-body populations, as labelled. Dynamically distinct subsets of the Kuiper belt are shown as red circles while measurements of comet-related bodies are shown as blue circles (c.f. Table 10). Black circled letters denote asteroid spectral types in the Tholen (1984) classification system, from Dandy et al. (2003). The solid line shows the locus of points for reflection spectra of constant gradient, *S'*; numbers give the slope in units of % 1000 Å⁻¹. The large yellow circle shows the color of the Sun. Some overlapping points have been displaced (by 0.005 mag) for clarity. Error bars show the uncertainties on the respective means.

CO is so volatile that it would sublimate strongly at 20 AU and even 30 AU distances, leaving no explanation for the \sim 10 AU critical distance for the onset, as seen in Figure 12. Carbon dioxide is important in some cometary nuclei and must be present in the Centaurs. Neither it nor CO is likely to be present as bulk ice but could be incorporated as clathrates or trapped in amorphous ice. The thermodynamic properties of clathrates are largely those of the host water molecule cage, so that activity at 10 AU would again be unexplained, leaving amorphous ice as the most plausible structure.

Crystallization of amorphous ice, with the concommitant release of trapped volatiles, is the leading candidate process (Jewitt 2009). The latter obtained a simple criterion for the crystallization of amorphous ice exposed on the surface of an incoming Centaur. The resulting crystallization distances, $7 \le r_h \le 14$ AU, overlap the range of distances at which we observe activity in the Centaurs. More sophisticated heat transport models reveal the effect of obliquity, ψ , with complete crystallization out to $r_H \sim 10$ AU for $\psi = 0^\circ$ and out to $r_H \sim 14$ AU at $\psi = 90^\circ$ (Guilbert-Lepoutre 2012). Therefore, even activity in the Centaur with the largest perihelion distance (167P/CINEOS at q = 11.8 AU) is consistent with a crystallization origin, provided the obliquity of this object is large.

Figure 13 shows the B-R colors of the Centaurs and active JFCs as a function of the perihelion distance. The active JFCs (green diamonds) have a mean $B-R = 1.22 \pm 0.02$ (N = 26) indistinguishable from that of the active Centaurs (yellow circles; mean $B-R = 1.30 \pm 0.05$ (N = 12)), within the uncertainties of measurement. But the inactive Centaurs (blue



Figure 11. Cross-section and residence-time weighted mean particle radius as a function of the size distribution power law index, γ , computed from Equation (2) (see discussion in Section 4.1). The vertical dashed line marks $\gamma = 3.5$, a nominal value measured in comets.



Figure 12. Orbital semimajor axis vs. eccentricity for active Centaurs (large yellow circles), inactive Centaurs with measured $B - R \le 1.6$ (small blue circles) and inactive Centaurs with B - R > 1.6 (small red circles). Also plotted are all known Jupiter family comets (ocean green diamonds) and Centaurs (gray circles). Diagonal arcs mark the locus of orbits having perihelion distances equal to the orbital semimajor axes of the giant planets (labeled q_J, q_S, q_U and q_N for Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune, respectively). The spherical blackbody temperature for each perihelion distance, $T_{\rm BB}$, is marked. Vertical dashed lines denote the semimajor axes of Jupiter (a_J) and Neptune (a_N), for reference.



Figure 13. B - R color index vs. perihelion distance for active Centaurs (yellow), red and blue inactive Centaurs and Jupiter family comets (green) from Solontoi et al. (2012). The upper horizontal axis shows the spherical blackbody temperature. The upper dashed horizontal line shows the location of the color gap between red and neutral groups as identified by Peixinho et al. (2012) while the lower dashed line shows the B - R color of the Sun.

circles) are on average much redder (mean $B-R = 1.47 \pm 0.06$ (N = 32)) than either the active Centaurs or the JFCs. As remarked above (c.f. Figure 8, Peixinho et al. 2012), their colors appear bimodally distributed because of the presence of very red objects ($B-R \sim 1.9 \pm 0.1$) that are not present in the other populations. Taken together, Figures 12 and 13 suggest that the transition from bimodal Centaur colors to unimodal colors begins at perihelion distances ($q \leq 10$ AU) similar to the perihelion distances at which Centaur activity begins. It is natural to suspect, then, that the disappearance of the ultrared matter is connected to activity in the Centaurs.

4.3. Blanketing and the Ultrared Matter

A key observation from the present work is that the disappearance of ultrared matter and the emergence of Centaur and JFC activity occur over the same range of perihelion distances, beginning at about 10 AU. This suggests that cometary activity itself, beginning in the Centaurs, is responsible for the disappearance of the ultrared matter. Possible mechanisms include thermodynamic instability, ejection and burial (or "blanketing") of ultrared material (Jewitt 2002). In support of this inference, numerical integrations of Centaur orbits show that ultrared objects have statistically spent less time inside $r_H < 9.5$ AU than have more nearly neutral objects (Melita & Licandro 2012).

The low albedos and bland spectra of many middle and outer solar system objects have lead to a general expectation that organics, specifically irradiated organics, are optically important in these bodies (e.g., Cooper et al. 2003). Indeed, recent measurements unambiguously reveal organic molecules on the surface of former Kuiper belt object 67P/Churyumov– Gerasimenko (Capaccioni et al. 2015; Goesmann et al. 2015; Wright et al. 2015). The optical response of organic compounds to energetic bombardment is a strong function of composition. Some, for example the simple organics methane (CH_4) , methanol (CH_3OH) and benzene (C_6H_6) show increased reddening following irradiation by energetic particles (Brunetto et al. 2006). Conversely, the complex, high molecular-weight hydrocarbons asphaltite and kerite (for which no simple chemical formulae can be given) become less red when irradiated, owing to the destruction of chemical bonds and the loss of hydrogen leading to carbonization of the material (e.g., Moroz et al. 2004). They also show a simultaneous increase in the optical reflectivity (from ~ 0.05 to ~ 0.12) upon irradiation, interpreted as due to the graphitization of the material resulting from hydrogen loss. The sense of these changes (toward less red materials having higher albedos) is opposite to the trend established in the Kuiper belt (where redder objects have the higher albedos; Lacerda et al. 2014). Interestingly, methanol has been spectroscopically reported in the ultrared (and inactive) Centaur 5145 Pholus (Cruikshank et al. 1998) and in the KBOs 2002 VE95 and 2004 TY364 (Merlin et al. 2012). Brown et al. (2011) have suggested that the ultrared matter could be caused by the irradiation of compounds including ammonia, which they believe might exist in certain regions of the Kuiper belt. In any event, it is quite conceivable that the optical responses of different organics to energetic particle irradiation could be the cause of the wide dispersion of colors in the outer solar system (Figure 10), with ultrared surfaces from irradiated simple organics and more neutral objects from irradiated complex, high molecular weight compounds.

In this scenario, why would the ultrared surfaces disappear on objects approaching the Sun from the Kuiper belt? Thermal instability (e.g., sublimation) is one possibility. However, the high molecular weight hydrocarbons are not volatile (Brunetto et al. 2006), particularly at 10 AU where the spherical blackbody temperature is only $T_{\rm BB} = 88$ K. It is difficult to see how they could sublimate away. Instead, we prefer an explanation in which outgassing activity destroys the ultrared matter, either by ejection or by blanketing of the nucleus by sub-orbital ("fallback") debris (Jewitt 2002). Since close-up observations show that the nuclei of comets are extensively shrouded in fine particulates, we focus on blanketing as the more likely mechanism for the change of color observed in Figures 10 and 13.

Our assumption is that the "primordial" surfaces of KBOs consist of irradiated organics in a layer probably $\sim 1 \text{ m}$ thick (Cooper et al. 2003), with compositional differences (themselves products of different formation locations in the protoplanetary disk) responsible for the dispersion of colors from neutral to ultrared. Once outgassing from sub-surface ice begins, this surface layer is obscured from view by blanketing with "fresh," un-irradiated material expelled from beneath. Red and neutral irradiated organics alike are buried by fallback.

The time needed to blanket a spherical nucleus of radius r_n to depth $\Delta \ell$ with dust mass loss at total rate dM/dt is

$$\tau_B = \frac{4\pi r_n^2 \rho \Delta \ell}{f_B \left(dM/dt \right)} \tag{3}$$

where ρ is the density of the material and f_B is the fraction of the ejecta, by mass, which falls back to the surface. Jewitt (2002) used a variant of Equation (3) to estimate the timescale for formation of an insulating refractory mantle capable of suppressing sublimation of buried ice (a so-called rubble mantle). Such a mantle would need to be at least several centimeters thick, comparable to the diurnal thermal skin depth,



Figure 14. Blanketing timescale as a function of the nucleus radius in kilometers and the dust mass loss rate in kg s⁻¹. Lines show the timescales in years (from Equation (4)), as marked. Circles denote measurements of four objects with their error bars. Bars that extend to the left hand axis indicate that the radius measurements are reported upper limits.

to suppress sublimation. However, a much thinner deposited layer is sufficient to hide the underlying material from view and the timescales we derive here are thus very short compared to those needed to build an insulating rubble mantle. We take $\Delta \ell = 10 \,\mu \text{m}$ (corresponding to about 20 times the wavelength of observation), appropriate for an opaque, organic-rich material (Brunetto & Roush 2008) as found on the surface of the nucleus of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko (Capaccioni et al. 2015). We calculated values of f_{R} according to the prescription in Jewitt (2002) for dust ejection through the action of gas drag (for which the terminal speed is related to the inverse square root of the particle size). At $r_H = 8 \text{ AU}$, we obtain $f_B = 4 \times 10^{-4} r_n^{1.1}$, with r_n expressed in kilometers. Larger nuclei have larger capture fractions, all else being equal, because they have larger gravitational escape speeds. Substituting for f_B into Equation (3) gives

$$\tau_B (\text{year}) = 10 r_n^{0.9} \left[\frac{dM}{dt} \right]^{-1}$$
 (4)

with r_n expressed in kilometers and dM/dt in kg s⁻¹.

Values of r_n and dM/dt have been reported for several Centaurs based on the interpretation of integrated-light photometry. For example, the large Centaur 29P/Schwassmann–Wachmann 1 has radius $r_n = 30 \pm 3$ km (Schambeau et al. 2015) and a range of recent dust production rate estimates from 430 to 1170 kg s⁻¹ (Fulle 1992; Ivanova et al. 2011; Shi et al. 2014). Centaur P/2011 S1 has $r_n \leq 3.9$ km, dM/dt = 40-150 kg s⁻¹ (Lin et al. 2014). For P/2004 A1 the corresponding numbers are $r_n \leq 3.5$ km, $dM/dt \sim 130$ kg s⁻¹ (Epifani et al. 2011), while for P/2010 C1 they are $r_n \leq 4.8$ km, dM/dt = 0.1-15 kg s⁻¹ (Epifani et al. 2014).

The blanketing timescales computed using these numbers with Equation (4) are shown in Figure 14. Clearly, the timescales are very uncertain, given the difficulties inherent in estimating dM/dt from photometry and in calculating f_B when neither the nucleus shape, rotation nor ejection mechanism can be well-specified. In addition, Equations (3) and (4) almost

certainly underestimate τ_B , perhaps by an order of magnitude or more, because Centaur activity is typically episodic and because fallback ejecta will not, in general, be uniformly distributed across the Centaur surface, with some areas taking longer to blanket than others. Nevertheless, even with these caveats in mind, it is evident from Figure 14 that τ_B is very short compared to the 10^6-10^7 year dynamical lifetimes of the Centaurs. As in Jewitt (2002), we conclude that blanketing of the surface by suborbital debris is an inevitable and fast-acting consequence of outgassing activity.

The demise of the ultrared matter, like the rise of Centaur activity, does not occur sharply at q = 10 AU but is spread over a range of perihelion distances inwards to \sim 7 AU (Figure 13). This is consistent with simulations by Guilbert-Lepoutre (2012) which show that crystallization of amorphous ice in Centaurs can occur over a range of distances from ~ 14 to ~ 7 AU. This is because the surface temperature is influenced by the magnitude and direction of the spin vector (as well as by the body shape, which was not modeled). Activity and blanketing could also be delayed if the thermal diffusivity of the material or the thickness of the irradiated layer vary from object to object, as seems likely. However, soon after activation, any object should promptly lose its original surface in this way. Fallback blanketing can account for the absence of ultrared matter in the comae and on the nuclei of active SPCs and LPCs, where the observed material has been excavated from beneath the meter-thick radiation damaged surface layer. The Jovian Trojans, while they are not now active, exist close enough to the Sun that any exposed ice is unstable on long-timescales. At $r_H = 5$ AU ice should be depleted down to depths of meters or more (Guilbert-Lepoutre 2014) and, if the Trojans were once briefly closer to the Sun (Nesvorný et al. 2013), to even greater depths. In the fallback blanketing scenario, the presence of ultrared matter indicates the absence of past or current activity. However, we cannot conclude the opposite, namely that neutral-group objects have necessarily been active leading to the burial of their irradiated surfaces. Neutral group objects in the Kuiper belt are very unlikely to have experienced activity because their equilibrium temperatures are low (~ 40 K). Instead, the existence of a wide dispersion of surface colors in the Kuiper belt presumably reflects real compositional differences between bodies.

An early model similar to this one invoked a competition between cosmic ray reddening and impact resurfacing to explain the color diversity in the Kuiper belt (Luu & Jewitt 1996). The "resurfacing model" produced dramatic color variations only when the timescales for optical reddening and impact resurfacing were comparable, otherwise the color equilibrium would settle to one extreme or the other. This model was later observationally rejected (Jewitt & Luu 2001), both because the measured color dispersion is larger than the model can produce and because expected azimuthal color variations on the KBOs were not detected. The impact resurfacing model further struggles to account for Kuiper belt properties discovered since its formulation, notably the existence of the color-distinct cold Classical KBO (low inclination) population. In the present context, the timescale for global impact resurfacing is assumed to be long compared to the timescale for reddening and the color diversity in the Kuiper belt has a compositional, not impact-caused, origin. As the perihelion of an escaped KBO diffuses inward to the Sun, the near-surface temperatures rise until they are sufficient to

trigger outgassing, whereupon blanketing of the surface by suborbital fallback debris is nearly immediate.

A few simple tests and consequences of the fallback blanketing hypothesis can be imagined. First, the existence of ultrared comets or active Centaurs would challenge the hypothesis directly, given the short timescales for blanketing indicated by Equation (4). Future observations should systematically search for objects which are both outgassing and ultrared. Second, steep surfaces and outcrops on cometary nuclei might resist the accumulation of suborbital debris relative to more nearly horizontal surfaces. High resolution color images could then reveal ultrared matter surviving on cliffs and outcrops (e.g., in 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko data from the ESA Rosetta spacecraft) and should be sought. Third, on ultrared Kuiper belt objects and Centaurs, fresh impact craters that are deeper than a few meters (i.e., corresponding to crater diameters larger than perhaps 10 or 20 m) should possess dark, neutral rims and rays consisting of matter excavated from beneath the irradiated layer. Images of the post-Pluto Kuiper belt objects to be visited by NASA's New Horizons spacecraft might be able to test this possibility, provided they have surfaces which are ultrared.

Grundy (2009) noted that ultrared colors could be produced by fine tuning the wavelength dependence of the optical depths of ice particles through the addition of selected organic absorbers. Sublimation of the ice could then cause the disappearance of the coloration. Indeed, at 8 AU the thermal equilibrium sublimation mass flux of a flat water ice surface oriented perpendicular to sunlight is $F_s \sim 2 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ s⁻¹, corresponding to a surface recession rate $F_s/\rho \sim 2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m s}^{-1}$, with ice density $\rho = 10^3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. A 1 μ m ice grain would take $\sim 5 \times 10^6 \text{ s}$ (1 month) to sublimate away, consistent with the prompt removal of ultrared matter on an object at this distance. While we cannot rule it out, this mechanism does rely upon arbitrary assumptions about the optical properties of the absorbing materials and about the sizes of the ice grains that are needed to guarantee strong wavelength-dependent optical depth effects. Moreover, we note that terrestrial frosts and snows darken but do not become strongly colored when contaminated, reflecting the difficulty inherent in fine tuning the wavelength-dependent optical depth. Neither have ultrared colors been noted on the icy surfaces of the outer planet satellites.

5. SUMMARY

We examined the optical colors of short-lived, small-body populations originating in the Kuiper belt and Oort cloud comet reservoirs.

- 1. Ultrared matter, abundant in the Kuiper belt and Centaur populations, is less common (at the \sim 95% level of confidence) in active Centaurs. It is not detected in the active SPCs or LPCs, in either their comae or nuclei.
- 2. The onset of activity in the Centaurs and the depletion of ultrared matter from the Centaur population both begin at perihelion distances $q \sim 10$ AU. This coincidence in distance suggests a connection between the two, namely that cometary activity is itself responsible for the disappearance of ultrared matter.
- 3. A plausible mechanism is the blanketing of ultrared surface material by an optically thick layer of fallback ejecta excavated from beneath the irradiated surface crust.

Blanketing is a natural and probably unavoidable consequence of cometary activity, occurring on time-scales ($\sim 0.1-10$ year, for the cases considered here) that are very short compared to the dynamical lifetimes of the Centaurs and JFCs.

4. We find no significant difference between the mean optical colors of the dust in short-period (Kuiper belt) and long-period (Oort cloud) comets, or between the colors of the dust and the underlying nuclei in the comets of either group. Neither do we find any correlation between the optical colors and the heliocentric or perihelion distances of the comets. The latter shows that the weighted mean particle size is always optically large (i.e., scattering cross-sections are geometric), regardless of distance from the Sun and that ice grains in distant comets cannot usually be detected by their influence on the optical color.

Masateru Ishiguro, Raquel Nuno, Hilke Schlichting, Chris Snead and Sid Grollix helped with the observations. Jing Li, Yoonyoung Kim and the anonymous referee made helpful comments on the manuscript. We thank Luca Ricci (LRIS) and Julie Renaud-Kim (Keck) for assistance. This work was supported by a grant to DCJ from NASA's Solar System Observations program.

REFERENCES

- A'Hearn, M. F., Feaga, L. M., Keller, H. U., et al. 2012, ApJ, 758, 29 Alvarez-Candal, A. 2013, A&A, 549, A34
- Alvarez-Candal, A. 2013, A&A, 549,
- Bohren, C. F., & Huffman, D. R. 1983, Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles (New York: Wiley)
- Brown, A. J. 2014, Icar, 239, 85
- Brown, M. E., Schaller, E. L., & Fraser, W. C. 2011, ApJL, 739, L60
- Brunetto, R., Barucci, M. A., Dotto, E., & Strazzulla, G. 2006, ApJ, 644, 646 Brunetto, R., & Roush, T. L. 2008, A&A, 481, 879
- Capaccioni, F., Coradini, A., Filacchione, G., et al. 2015, Sci, 347, aaa0628
- Cochran, A. L., Levasseur-Regourd, A.-C., Cordiner, M., et al. 2015, arXiv:1507.00761
- Cooper, J. F., Christian, E. R., Richardson, J. D., & Wang, C. 2003, EM&P, 92, 261
- Cruikshank, D. P., Roush, T. L., Bartholomew, M. J., et al. 1998, Icar, 135, 389
- Dandy, C. L., Fitzsimmons, A., & Collander-Brown, S. J. 2003, Icar, 163, 363
- Emel'yanenko, V. V., Asher, D. J., & Bailey, M. E. 2013, EM&P, 110, 105
- Epifani, E. M., Dall'Ora, M., Perna, D., Palumbo, P., & Colangeli, L. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 3097
- Epifani, E. M., Perna, D., Licandro, J., et al. 2014, A&A, 565, A69
- Fernández, J. A., Gallardo, T., & Brunini, A. 2004, Icar, 172, 372
- Fornasier, S., Dotto, E., Hainaut, O., et al. 2007, Icar, 190, 622
- Fulle, M. 1992, Natur, 359, 42
- Goesmann, F., Rosenbauer, H., Bredehöft, J. H., et al. 2015, Sci, 349, 020689
- Grün, E., Hanner, M. S., Peschke, S. B., et al. 2001, A&A, 377, 1098
- Grundy, W. M. 2009, Icar, 199, 560
- Guilbert-Lepoutre, A. 2012, AJ, 144, 97
- Guilbert-Lepoutre, A. 2014, Icar, 231, 232
- Gundlach, B., Blum, J., Keller, H. U., & Skorov, Y. V. 2015, arXiv:1506.08545
- Hainaut, O. R., Boehnhardt, H., & Protopapa, S. 2012, A&A, 546, A115
- Hainaut, O. R., & Delsanti, A. C. 2002, A&A, 389, 641
- Hartmann, W. K., & Cruikshank, D. P. 1984, Icar, 57, 55
- Holmberg, J., Flynn, C., & Portinari, L. 2006, MNRAS, 367, 449
- Horner, J., Evans, N. W., & Bailey, M. E. 2004, MNRAS, 354, 798

- Horner, J., Evans, N. W., Bailey, M. E., & Asher, D. J. 2003, MNRAS, 343, 1057
- Hörz, F., Bastien, R., Borg, J., et al. 2006, Sci, 314, 1716
- Ivanova, O., Neslušan, L., Krišandová, Z. S., et al. 2015, Icar, 258, 28
- Ivanova, O. V., Skorov, Y. V., Korsun, P. P., Afanasiev, V. L., & Blum, J. 2011, Icar, 211, 559
- Ivezić, Ž., Smith, J. A., Miknaitis, G., et al. 2007, AJ, 134, 973
- Jester, S., Schneider, D. P., Richards, G. T., et al. 2005, AJ, 130, 873
- Jewitt, D. 2005, AJ, 129, 530
- Jewitt, D. 2009, AJ, 137, 4296
- Jewitt, D., Ishiguro, M., Weaver, H., et al. 2014, AJ, 147, 117
- Jewitt, D., & Meech, K. J. 1988, AJ, 96, 1723
- Jewitt, D. C. 2002, AJ, 123, 1039
- Jewitt, D. C., & Luu, J. X. 2001, AJ, 122, 2099
- Kawakita, H., Watanabe, J.-i., Ootsubo, T., et al. 2004, ApJL, 601, L191
- Kim, Y., Ishiguro, M., & Usui, F. 2014, ApJ, 789, 151
- Kolokolova, L., Hanner, M. S., Levasseur-Regourd, A.-C., & Gustafson, B. A. S. 2004, in Comets II, ed. M. Festou, H. Weaver & U. Keller (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press), 577
- Królikowska, M., & Dybczyński, P. A. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 440
- Lacerda, P., Fornasier, S., Lellouch, E., et al. 2014, ApJL, 793, L2
- Lamy, P., & Toth, I. 2009, Icar, 201, 674
- Landolt, A. U. 1992, AJ, 104, 340
- Levison, H. F. 1996, in ASP Conf. Proc. 107, Completing the Inventory of the Solar System, ed. T. W. Retting & J. M. Hahn (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 173
- Levison, H. F., & Duncan, M. J. 1994, Icar, 108, 18
- Levison, H. F., Duncan, M. J., Dones, L., & Gladman, B. J. 2006, Icar, 184, 619
- Licandro, J., Alvarez-Candal, A., de León, J., et al. 2008, A&A, 481, 861
- Lin, H. W., Chen, Y. T., Lacerda, P., et al. 2014, AJ, 147, 114
- Luu, J., & Jewitt, D. 1996, AJ, 112, 2310
- Meech, K. J., Pittichová, J., Bar-Nun, A., et al. 2009, Icar, 201, 719
- Melita, M. D., & Licandro, J. 2012, A&A, 539, A144
- Merlin, F., Quirico, E., Barucci, M. A., & de Bergh, C. 2012, A&A, 544, A20
- Moroz, L., Baratta, G., Strazzulla, G., et al. 2004, Icar, 170, 214
- Nesvorný, D., Vokrouhlický, D., & Morbidelli, A. 2013, ApJ, 768, 45
- Oke, J. B., Cohen, J. G., Carr, M., et al. 1995, PASP, 107, 375
- Pál, A., Kiss, C., Horner, J., et al. 2015, arXiv:1507.05468
- Peixinho, N., Boehnhardt, H., Belskaya, I., et al. 2004, Icar, 170, 153
- Peixinho, N., Delsanti, A., Guilbert-Lepoutre, A., Gafeira, R., & Lacerda, P. 2012, A&A, 546, A86
- Peixinho, N., Doressoundiram, A., Delsanti, A., et al. 2003, A&A, 410, L29
- Pinilla-Alonso, N., Alvarez-Candal, A., Melita, M. D., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A13
- Pozuelos, F. J., Moreno, F., Aceituno, F., et al. 2014, A&A, 568, A3
- Schambeau, C. A., Fernández, Y. R., Lisse, C. M., Samarasinha, N., & Woodney, L. M. 2015, Icar, 260, 60
- Sheppard, S. S. 2010, AJ, 139, 1394
- Shi, J., Ma, Y., & Zheng, J. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 739
- Solontoi, M., Ivezić, Ž., Jurić, M., et al. 2012, Icar, 218, 571
- Szabó, G. M., Ivezić, Ž., Jurić, M., & Lupton, R. 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1393
- Tegler, S. C., & Romanishin, W. 2000, Natur, 407, 979
- Tegler, S. C., Romanishin, W., & Consolmagno, G. J. 2003, ApJL, 599, L49
- Tholen, D. J. 1984, PhD thesis, Univ. Arizona
- Tiscareno, M. S., & Malhotra, R. 2003, AJ, 126, 3122
- Trujillo, C. A., & Brown, M. E. 2002, ApJL, 566, L125
- Vaghi, S. 1973, A&A, 24, 107
- Wang, S., Zhao, H.-B., Ji, J.-H., et al. 2012, RAA, 12, 1576
- Whipple, F. L. 1950, ApJ, 111, 375
- Wright, I. P., Sheridan, S., Barber, S. J., et al. 2015, Sci, 349, 020673
- Yang, B., Jewitt, D., & Bus, S. J. 2009, AJ, 137, 4538
- Yang, B., Keane, J., Meech, K., Owen, T., & Wainscoat, R. 2014, ApJL, 784, L23