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**Abstract**

We present *Hubble Space Telescope* observations of the active asteroid (and Geminid stream parent) 3200 Phaethon when at its closest approach to Earth (separation 0.07 au) in 2017 December. Images were recorded within ~1° of the orbital plane, providing extra sensitivity to low surface brightness caused by scattering from a large-particle trail. We placed an upper limit on the apparent surface brightness of such a trail at 27.2 mag within 2 arcsecond, corresponding to an in-plane optical depth ≤ 3 × 10−9. No co-moving sources brighter than absolute magnitude 26.3, corresponding to circular equivalent radius ~12 m (albedo 0.12 assumed), were detected. Phaethon is too hot for near-surface ice to survive. We briefly consider the thermodynamic stability of deeply buried ice, finding that its survival would require either a very small (regolith-like) thermal diffusivity (< 10−8 m² s⁻¹), or the unexpectedly recent injection of Phaethon (timescale ≈ 10⁶ years) into its present orbit, or both.
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1. Introduction

Object 3200 Phaethon is one of about two dozen known “active asteroids”, objects that have asteroid-like orbits but show transient, comet-like morphologies (comae and/or tails) caused by mass loss (Jewitt et al. 2015b). Mechanisms driving the mass loss in these bodies are many and varied, ranging from rotational instability and breakup, to impact, to the sublimation of recently exposed near-surface ice (Hsieh & Jewitt 2006). In Phaethon, on-going mass loss has been detected only near perihelion, at q = 0.14 au, where it is interpreted to be due to thermal fracture and/or stresses induced by mineral desiccation at high surface temperatures (T ~ 1000 K; Jewitt & Li 2010; Jewitt et al. 2013; Li & Jewitt 2013; Hui & Li 2017). Mass-loss rates inferred from the near-perihelion data are dM/dt ~ 3 kg s⁻¹ and the ejected particles are of micron size (Jewitt et al. 2013). Optical observations of Phaethon against the dark sky (near τ_H = 1 au) have shown no evidence for dust (Hsieh & Jewitt 2005).

Phaethon is also the likely parent of the Geminid meteoroid stream (Williams & Wu 1993), whose mass and dynamical age are estimated as M_G ~ (2–7) × 10¹³ kg (Blaauw 2017) and τ_G ~ 10³ years (Williams & Wu 1993; Ryabova 1999; Beech 2002; Jakubík & Neslušan 2015), respectively. The ratio of these quantities gives an estimate of the steady-state production rate that would be needed to supply the Geminids, namely M_G/τ_G ~ 7 × 10² to 2 × 10⁵ kg s⁻¹. These rates are 10⁻² to 10³ times larger than the ~3 kg s⁻¹ estimated from the detection of a dust tail in near-perihelion data. Indeed, hopes that the observed near-perihelion activity might account for the steady-state production of the Geminids are further dashed by the realization that the ejected (micron-sized) particles are individually 10³ times smaller and 10⁵ times less massive than the typical (millimeter-sized) Geminid meteoroids (Jewitt & Li 2010). Such small particles are strongly accelerated by solar radiation pressure and do not contribute to the Geminids stream. Debris particles with sizes ≥ 10 μm have been reported from infrared observations (Arendt 2014) and recently, even larger Geminids (up to 2 cm) were inferred via their impact ejection of dust from the Moon (Szalay et al. 2018). However, instead of being a steady-state phenomenon, it appears more likely that the production of the Geminid stream is associated with an unspecified transient or even impulsive event occurring within the last few ~10⁵ years. The nature of this event is unknown.

Phaethon’s orbit has semimajor axis a = 1.271 au, e = eccentricity 0.890 and inclination i = 22°. The resulting Tisserand parameter with respect to Jupiter is T_J = 4.509. This large value is inconsistent with Phaethon being a captured Kuiper Belt (2 ≤ T_J ≤ 3) or Oort cloud (T_J < 2) comet (however, see Ryabova 2007 for a contrary view) but is typical of the asteroids (for which T_J > 3). Indeed, there exists a purported dynamical pathway linking Phaethon to the 500 km diameter main-belt asteroid 2 Pallas (de León et al. 2010; Todorović 2018), raising the suspicion that the former could be a fragment of the latter. While the reflection spectra of Phaethon and Pallas do show significant differences, both are blue relative to the Sun (i.e., they are asteroid spectral B-types), broadly consistent with a physical connection. Two other asteroids appear dynamically associated with Phaethon; 2005 UD (Ohtsuka et al. 2006) is another B-type (Jewitt & Hsieh 2006) while 1999 YC is a C-type (Kasuga & Jewitt 2008), enhancing the sense of a past catastrophic event (although not the same one producing the Geminids). Together, these objects define the so-called “Phaethon-Geminid Complex” (Kasuga 2009).

Here, we present *Hubble Space Telescope* observations of 3200 Phaethon taken near the time of its closest approach to Earth in 2017 December, at geocentric distance Δ = 0.07 au. In addition, our data were taken within 1° of the Earth crossing Phaethon’s orbital plane, providing sensitivity to large, slow-moving particles confined to a trail. These observations are independent of, but complementary to, *HST* observations taken with Phaethon at a slightly larger geocentric distance and out-of-plane angle on UT 2017 December 14 under GO 15357 (Ye et al. 2018).
2. Observations

We used the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) imager on the 2.4 m Hubble Space Telescope, with all observations taken under General Observer program GO 15343. The UVIS channel of this instrument uses two thinned, backside-illuminated charge-coupled devices (CCDs) each with 2051 × 4096 15 μm pixels, separated by a 1/2 gap. The image scale is 0.04 pixel⁻¹, while the Nyquist-sampled (2 pixel) resolution of the data corresponds to a linear distance of 4 km at the distance of Phaethon. The full angular field of view of the instrument (162° × 162°) corresponds to 8200 km at Phaethon. We used the wide F350LP filter in order to maximize sensitivity to diffuse material and to objects at different distances from Phaenom, recognizing the high surface brightness of scattered light at small angular separations. Whereas the long integrations within each set were read-out full-frame, we used sub-frame readout for the medium and short integrations in order to maximize the observing efficiency (Table 1). The orientation of the WFC3 field was rotated by ∼20° between the A, B, and C image sets in an attempt to identify artefacts in the data. We assumed that instrumental and scattered light artefacts would rotate with the telescope while real features associated with Phaethon would instead maintain a fixed sky position angle. In this, we emulated procedures used before to search for faint objects in the vicinity of bright targets including potential satellites of Pluto (Weaver et al. 2006), 2 Vesta (McFadden et al. 2015), and 1 Ceres (DeMicio et al. 2016). A log of observations is given in Table 1. The hour-by-hour geometry of the observations is indicated in Table 2.

During the observations, Phaethon moved at angular rates up to ∼2300° hr⁻¹ in R.A. and 1600° hr⁻¹ in declination (Table 2, Figure 1), relative to field stars and galaxies, as a result of parallax caused by the small HST—Phaethon distance. This is equivalent to trailing of field objects by up to 18 WFC3 pixels s⁻¹. The telescope uses linear interpolation between ephemeris positions that leads to trailing of Phaethon in the longer “L” integrations and, to a lesser extent, in the medium (“M”) integrations. Trailing is not an issue in the short “S” integrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Images</th>
<th>Image Names</th>
<th>UT¹</th>
<th>Exp²</th>
<th>Image Size³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-L</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>IDLTA1IQQ–IDLTA1ITQ</td>
<td>07:08:16–07:32:01</td>
<td>3 × 348</td>
<td>4124 × 4385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-M</td>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>IDLTA1IQQ–IDLTA1IXQ</td>
<td>07:35:28–07:43:00</td>
<td>3 × 30</td>
<td>2058 × 2175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-S</td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>IDLTA1IQQ–IDLTA1IJJQ</td>
<td>07:47:06–07:52:22</td>
<td>4 × 4</td>
<td>1029 × 1086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-L</td>
<td>11–13</td>
<td>IDLTA2F3Q–IDLTA2J6Q</td>
<td>08:42:57–09:06:42</td>
<td>3 × 348</td>
<td>4124 × 4385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-M</td>
<td>14–16</td>
<td>IDLTA2F3Q–IDLTA2JAQ</td>
<td>09:10:10–09:17:42</td>
<td>3 × 30</td>
<td>2058 × 2175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-M</td>
<td>24–26</td>
<td>IDLTA3JLQ–IDLTA3JNQ</td>
<td>10:45:20–10:52:52</td>
<td>3 × 30</td>
<td>2058 × 2175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>27–30</td>
<td>IDLTA3JQQ–IDLTA3JRRQ</td>
<td>10:56:57–11:02:13</td>
<td>4 × 4</td>
<td>1029 × 1086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.
¹ Times of the start and end of each image, on UT 2017 December 17.
² Number of exposures × exposure time in seconds.
³ Number of pixels read out from CCD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>dR.A./dt¹</th>
<th>dδ/dt¹</th>
<th>rå²</th>
<th>Δ²</th>
<th>α³</th>
<th>δ₄²</th>
<th>θ₋₅⁴</th>
<th>θ₋₆⁴</th>
<th>ΔE⁶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0⁰ 0⁰</td>
<td>−1677.03</td>
<td>−1473.53</td>
<td>1.00557</td>
<td>0.06922</td>
<td>70.007</td>
<td>71.225</td>
<td>71.016</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0⁰ 0⁰</td>
<td>−1407.65</td>
<td>−1557.29</td>
<td>1.00488</td>
<td>0.06931</td>
<td>70.674</td>
<td>71.016</td>
<td>70.570</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0⁰ 0⁰</td>
<td>−2253.04</td>
<td>−1317.61</td>
<td>1.00418</td>
<td>0.06932</td>
<td>71.255</td>
<td>70.631</td>
<td>70.117</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0⁰ 0⁰</td>
<td>−1338.80</td>
<td>−1579.85</td>
<td>1.00348</td>
<td>0.06949</td>
<td>71.847</td>
<td>70.356</td>
<td>69.680</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0⁰ 0⁰</td>
<td>−1706.39</td>
<td>−1458.21</td>
<td>1.00279</td>
<td>0.06955</td>
<td>72.506</td>
<td>70.070</td>
<td>69.273</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.
¹ Instantaneous rates of angular motion in R.A. and decl., relative to sidereal, in arcsecond hr⁻¹.
² Heliocentric distance, in au.
³ Geocentric distance, in au.
⁴ Phase angle, in degrees.
⁵ Position angle of negative projected orbit vector, in degrees.
⁶ Position angle of projected anti-solar direction, in degrees.
⁷ Angle from orbital plane, in degrees.
Figure 1. (Left axis) Angular non-sideral rates of motion of Phaethon in R.A. (red curve labeled R.A.) and decl. (blue curve labeled decl.) from HST on UT 2017 December 17 (right axis); out-of-plane angle (black, dashed curve labeled $\theta_2$). Labeled boxes at the bottom indicate the times of the long (L), medium (M), and short (S) integrations in each of the three sets, A, B, and C (see Table 1).

Figure 2. Single, unprocessed 348 s WFC3 image of Phaethon with image artefacts marked (see Section 2). N and E show the cardinal directions while, in the lower left, a 20$^\circ$ scale bar corresponds to 1000 km at the $\Delta = 0.07$ au distance to Phaethon. The green arrow shows the (overlapping) directions of the negative heliocentric velocity vector and the anti-solar direction (see Table 2).

3. Discussion

No Phaethon-associated dust is evident in our data and so we seek to place quantitative upper limits to the presence of such material. The spatially complex and temporally variable background to the Phaethon HST data makes it impossible to assign a single value to the limiting sensitivity. In addition, the sensitivity to diffuse emission, as would be expected from near-nucleus dust, depends on many factors including both the surface brightness and the solid angle subtended. The morphology is unknown and unknowable because it is a function of the ejection mechanism, its particle size, and angular dependence and the subsequent motion of ejected particles under the action of solar radiation pressure. Our approach is to set the most conservative limits, paying particular attention to dust at the anticipated close-approach distance of JAXA’s planned DESTINY+ mission to Phaethon (see Section 3.3).

3.1. Surface Brightness Limits: Dust Trail

Large, slow-moving particles ejected from a parent body stay close to the orbital plane of the parent, forming a line-like “trail” on the sky when observations are taken from a vantage point near the orbital plane. The surface brightness of such a trail is geometrically enhanced, potentially by a large factor. Our HST images were targeted at small out-of-plane angles, $0.3 \leq \delta_\odot \leq 1.2$ (c.f. Table 2), giving us high sensitivity to a large-particle dust trail.

The surface brightness of a uniform source is independent of the distance to the observer, $\Delta$, but should vary with heliocentric distance, $r_H$, and phase angle, $\alpha$. The phase angle dependence is described by the phase function, $\Phi(\alpha) \leq 1$, equal to the ratio of the light scattered at angle $\alpha$ to that at $\alpha = 0^\circ$. The $HST$ observations were taken at large phase angle, $70^\circ.0 \leq \alpha \leq 72^\circ.5$ (Table 2), and so the phase correction deserves comment.

The relevant phase function for Phaethon dust is unclear, because the properties (indeed, the existence) of the dust are unknown. Optically large dust particles (i.e., those with radius $a \gg \lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of observation), of the type expected to be confined to a trail, might possess phase functions similar to those of asteroids. In this regard, the S- and C-types have $\Phi(71^\circ) = 0.12$ and 0.10, respectively, as computed from the Bowell et al. (1989) formalism with angle parameters $g = 0.25$ and 0.15, respectively. Empirically, the phase functions for dusty comets tend to be strongly forward-scattering but otherwise relatively flat. For example, the comet dust phase function of Marcus (2007) gives $\Phi(71^\circ) \sim 0.4$. In the following we adopt the largest phase correction, $\Phi$.
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the gravitational escape speed from the parent body. For example, active asteroid 133P/Elst-Pizarro ejects dust at \( \sim 1 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) and has a trail with full width at half maximum (FWHM) = 500 km at 1000 km from the nucleus (Jewitt et al. 2014). Active asteroid 311P, when viewed from within

the orbit plane, shows a large-particle trail with FWHM rising from 400 km near the nucleus to 600 km some 30000 km from it (Jewitt et al. 2015a). The derived ejection velocity from 311P is \( <1 \text{ m s}^{-1} \). Accordingly, we searched the \( HST \) data for evidence of a narrow dust trail in Phaethon, using the morphological evidence from other active asteroids as a model.

At \( \Delta = 0.07 \text{ au} \), a 500 km FWHM trail would subtend \( \sim 10'' \). Figure 3 shows a sample long-integration image of Phaethon formed by combining images A-L (1–3) to remove cosmic rays and rotated to bring the projected orbit direction to the horizontal. Overlaid on the image (in dashed white lines) is a box of projected width 10''.

\[ \text{We computed a set of surface brightness profiles} \]

perpendicular to the expected trail direction (i.e., along the thin vertical boxes shown in the figure). Each profile sampled 4'' along the horizontal direction and extended \( \pm 40'' \) above and below it. We then computed the median of these vertical profiles, in order to suppress trailed field objects and other artefacts that appear at different positions relative to the projected orbit. Example median profiles are shown in
Figure 4. Sample median surface brightness cuts perpendicular to the projected orbital plane. Red and blue lines show cuts measured east and west of the nucleus. The yellow band shows the expected location and approximate width of the in-plane dust trail. Structure in the profiles is the result of low surface brightness background sources in the data. Surface brightness is expressed in instrumental units, where 1 count pixel$^{-1}$ corresponds to $\Sigma(r_H, \alpha) = 19.7$ magnitude arcsec$^{-2}$. Values are expressed relative to the mean across the image.

Figure 4, where a linear gradient has been subtracted from the data and the residual bumps in the profile are due to imperfect removal of background sources. A large-particle trail in Figure 4 would appear as a symmetric excess at $\alpha = 0^\circ$ and $10^\circ$ wide if FWHM = 500 km. No consistent excess is apparent in the profiles shown, and neither do other profiles or combinations of profiles computed from the HST images show any hint of an orbit-aligned trail, of any thickness. It is clear from the figure that systematic uncertainties (caused by imperfectly removed field objects) are larger than statistical errors, and so it is difficult to assign a formal 3$\sigma$ limit to the surface brightness of dust. By comparing different combinations of vertical cuts, we conclude that a firm upper limit to the surface brightness of any orbit-aligned, 133P-like trail with a perpendicular scale $\sim 10^\circ$ (500 km) is $10^{-3}$ counts per pixel, corresponding to $\Sigma(r_H, \alpha) \geq 27.2$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. By Equation (2) with $V_1 \geq 27.2$, we find that this corresponds to optical depth $\tau \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-5}$. This is comparable to trail optical depth limits ($10^{-5}$–$10^{-6}$) set using ground-based data (Ishiguro et al. 2009) but probes the dust environment much closer to Phaethon than was previously possible.

3.2. Point Sources

We use only the short exposure images to set limits to the brightness of possible co-moving point sources, caused by boulders that might have been ejected from Phaethon. The short exposure images do not suffer from guiding drift and are affected by far fewer trailed field objects than the long-exposure images. An example is shown in Figure 5. No co-moving point sources are apparent in the HST data. We set a limit to the brightness of such objects by adding artificial stars to the data to find that point sources with $V \lesssim 23$ are detectable over most of the field of view. This limit decreases very close to Phaethon ($\theta \lesssim 5^\circ$) because of scattered light, but is valid at $10^\circ$ (500 km) separation (see yellow circle in the figure). At $r_H = 1.004$ au, $\Delta = 0.069$ au and $\alpha = 71^\circ$, $V \gtrsim 23.0$ corresponds to absolute magnitude $H_V \geq 26.3$. With $p_V = 0.12$, this absolute magnitude sets a limit to Phaethon co-moving companion radii $a \lesssim 12$ m.

The Hill sphere of Phaethon has a radius of about 78 km (subtending $\theta = 1^\circ$ at 0.07 au). Even in our shortest integrations, the near-nucleus region is obliterated by saturated pixels (for $\theta \lesssim 0^\circ$) or strongly contaminated by scattered light, and so cannot be meaningfully studied. Separate observations obtained in the thermal infrared were secured to better study the Hill sphere and will be presented in another paper.

3.3. DESTINY+

The planned Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (“JAXA”) mission to Phaethon, called “DESTINY+” (Arai et al. 2018), has an intended close-approach distance of 500 km (Ishibashi et al. 2018). This corresponds to an angular distance $\theta = 10^\circ$ at $\Delta = 0.07$ au, which falls well within the field of view of the present data set (see Figure 3). We briefly consider some consequences of the non-detection of dust for the DESTINY+ mission. The DESTINY+ spacecraft is box-like with a longitudinal cross section $A_s = 0.9$ m$^2$ (Arai et al. 2018). The total cross section of all the particles to be intercepted in this area is just $C_t = A_s \tau$. Substituting for $\tau$, we find $C_t \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$. This is equal to the cross section of a single, spherical particle having radius $a = (A_s \tau / \pi)^{1/2}$. We find $a = 30$ $\mu$m, which is much smaller than the characteristic millimeter size of the Geminid meteoroids (Schult et al. 2018), leading us to conclude that it is unlikely that DESTINY+ will hit such a particle. The corresponding particle mass is $M = 4/3\pi\rho$.
(A_2\pi/\rho)^{3/2}$. With density $\rho = 2500 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, we find $M = 3 \times 10^{10}$ kg. Any particle intercepted by the spacecraft would likely be small and a product of recent activity; the travel time to reach 500 km at 3 m s$^{-1}$ is only $\sim 2$ days.

DESTINY+ will carry a dust analyzer with collecting area $A_d = 0.011 \text{ m}^2$ (Masanori et al. 2018). If flying in the orbital plane of Phaethon, the collector will capture dust with a total cross section ($A_n < 3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2$), equivalent to a single spherical particle of mass $M < 3 \times 10^{-13}$ kg and radius $a < 3 \mu$m. Of course, this tiny intercepted cross section and mass will be distributed over a number of particles, depending upon the size distribution and size range of the particles released from Phaethon. Near-perihelion observations indicate that micron-sized dust particles are released from Phaethon at rates $dm/dt \sim 3 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$, sustained for $\sim 1$ day (Jewitt et al. 2013). Assuming, for the sake argument, that the particles are released isotropically at speed, $U$, their number density at distance $L$ from the nucleus would be

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi U L^2} \left( \frac{3}{4\pi \rho a^2} \right) \frac{dm}{dt}$$

and the resulting optical depth along a line of sight having impact parameter, $L$ is

$$\tau \sim N_1 \pi a^2 L.$$ 

This will likely be small and a product of recent activity; the travel time to reach 500 km at 3 m s$^{-1}$ is only $\sim 2$ days.

The optical depth along a line of sight having impact parameter, $L$ is

$$\tau \sim N_1 \pi a^2 L.$$ 

The Geminids are more nearly millimeter-sized rather than micron-sized. Measurements in the $10^{-7} \leq m \leq 10^{-2}$ kg mass range (corresponding radii $0.2 \leq a \leq 10 \mu$m, with $\rho = 2500 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$) support a differential power-law distribution, $dN \propto m^{-1.68} dm$ with mass index $s = 1.68 \pm 0.04$ (Blauwe 2017, c.f. 1.64 by Schult et al. 2018). This mass index corresponds to a differential size distribution index $q = 3s - 2 = 3.04 \pm 0.04$. In such a distribution, the cross section is spread approximately uniformly in equal increments of log($a$) while the mass is dominated by the largest particles. Particles smaller than 0.2 mm and larger than 10 mm no doubt exist outside the range sampled by Blauwe (2017). However, Geminids smaller than about $a_0 \approx 10 \mu$m are quickly swept from the stream by radiation pressure and should be absent or severely depleted unless recently released from the nucleus. Larger particles, up to $a_1 \approx 2$ cm, have been inferred from dust detectors orbiting the Moon (Szalay et al. 2018) and up to 4 cm from bolides (Madiedo et al. 2013).

We give an example for the case of the optical detection of larger particles. The number density corresponding to optical depth $\tau$ is

$$N_1 = \tau/\pi a_0^2 \ell,$$

where we assume an average radius $a_0$ and $\ell$ is the path length along the line of sight. We take $\ell \sim L$, with $L = 500$ km as the minimum approach distance of the spacecraft and $a_0 = 0.5$ mm. Then, the number density of particles is $N_1 < 7 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^{-3}$ and their average separation is $N_1^{-1/3} \gtrsim 500$ m. Any chance that these particles could be directly imaged (as were nearby dust particles in the ROSETTA rendezvous with comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko, see Ott et al. 2017) is dashed by the extreme parallactic trailing as the fast-moving spacecraft tracks the nucleus of Phaethon. Dust particles in the vicinity of the nucleus will not suffer from this trailing but will be too distant and faint to be detected.

### 3.4. Mechanisms

The question of how an asteroid can eject millimeter-sized (and probably larger) rocks to populate the Geminid meteoroid stream has existed since the discovery of Phaethon in 1983. In comets, mass loss is driven by drag forces from expanding gas generated by the sublimation of near-surface ice. Some main-belt asteroids (the “main-belt comets”) appear to contain ice (Hsieh & Jewitt 2006) and so we consider this possibility first. Internal ice could exist if Phaethon originated in an outer-belt orbit, for example as a fragment of 2 Pallas, or in a more distant location. Can ice survive in Phaethon?

It is easy to reject the possibility of near-surface ice, because the surface temperature at perihelion is so high ($T \sim 1000$ K); surface ice would be long-gone. The survival of deeply buried ice (although it could not drive the near-perihelion activity) is more difficult to address, requiring the calculation of a detailed thermal model of the object coupled with a model of the dynamical evolution. The thermophysical and dynamical evolutionary parameters of Phaethon are not sufficiently well known to justify such a calculation. Instead, we proceed as follows.

The e-folding timescale for heat to conduct from the surface to the core of Phaethon is

$$\tau = r_p^2/\kappa,$$

where $r_p = 3 \text{ km}$ is the nucleus radius and $\kappa$ is the thermal diffusivity of the material of which Phaethon is made. On timescales $\gg \tau$, the core temperature, $T_r$, should approach the temperature of a spherical blackbody in equilibrium with the solar insolation averaged around its orbit. A calculation gives $T_r = 300 \text{ K}$, which is far too high for ice to exist (Jewitt & Li 2010).

However, if it were initially present, ice could survive in the core of Phaethon provided $\tau_r > \tau_o$, where $\tau_o$ is the time elapsed since entering the present, small-perihelion orbit. For this inequality to be true, the bulk diffusivity of Phaethon must be $\kappa < r_p^2/\tau_o$. The timescale $\tau_o$ is not known, but an indication is provided by numerical integrations of the orbital evolution, which give a half life of $\tau_o = 26 \text{ Myr}$ (de León et al. 2010). Substitution of this value gives $\kappa < 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$, which is about two orders of magnitude smaller than the diffusivities typical of dielectric solids ($\kappa \sim 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$). Jakubik & Neslušan (2015) report dynamical stability on an even longer timescale ($\sim 100$ Myr, implying $\kappa < 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$). Such low diffusivities are found in highly porous, regolith type materials (e.g., the diffusivity of the lunar regolith is $5 \times 10^{-9} \leq \kappa \leq 5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ according to parameters given by Rubanenko & Aharonson 2017). However, high porosities are difficult to reconcile with the robust nature of the Geminid meteoroids, as inferred from their deep penetration into the Earth’s atmosphere. Specifically, Geminid densities ($\rho = 2900 \pm 600 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, Babadzhyan & Kokhirova 2009) and tensile strengths ($\sim 3 \times 10^7 \text{ N m}^{-2}$, Beech 2002) are among the highest of any measured values and seemingly at odds with a porous structure. Only if $\tau_o \ll 26 \text{ Myr}$ could the conduction time be long enough to preserve buried ice without requiring regolith-like porosity. For example, if $\tau_o = 1 \text{ Myr}$,
then we require only that $\kappa < 0.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, much more compatible with solid rock. Simply put, while we cannot empirically rule out the possibility that Phaethon retains deeply buried ice, its survival would require either an unexpectedly small thermal diffusivity or an unexpectedly recent deflection of Phaethon into its present orbit from a more distant one.

As noted above, thermal fracture and/or the build-up of desiccation stresses are probably responsible for the near-perihelion activity. These processes are likely assisted by radiation pressure sweeping, which, at perihelion, can remove sub-millimeter particles once they are detached from the surface (c.f. Equation (17) of Jewitt 2012). The very high linear polarization ($\sim 50\%$ at phase angle $100^\circ$) exhibited by Phaethon has been interpreted as evidence for the preferential loss of small particles through this effect (Ito et al. 2018). Rotational instability could play a contributory role. The rotation period of 3.6 hr (Ansdell et al. 2014; Hanuš et al. 2016) is not remarkable compared to asteroids of comparable size, and the rotational frequency ($6.7 \text{ day}^{-1}$) is significantly below the empirical rotational barrier ($11 \text{ day}^{-1}$; Pravec et al. 2002). However, depending on the shape of Phaethon, the centripetal acceleration near the equator could be a large fraction of the gravitational acceleration there, so raising the maximum size of particles that can be removed by radiation pressure sweeping. Indeed, some light-curve-based shape models (e.g., the top panel of Figure 5 in Hanuš et al. 2016) hint at a muffin-top shape consistent with the equatorward movement of surface material owing to incipient rotational instability. Rotational instability in small asteroids and comets is a natural consequence of both the YORP effect and, when it is present, of outgassing.

Thus, we envision that some combination of thermal or desiccation stresses, fast rotation, and radiation pressure sweeping is responsible for the mass loss detected near perihelion. However, the apparent lack of activity away from perihelion leaves the origin of the Geminid meteoroid stream unresolved.

4. Summary

We describe Hubble Space Telescope observations of active asteroid (and Geminid parent) 3200 Phaethon, taken within $\sim 1^\circ$ of orbit plane crossing and at the closest approach to the Earth (geocentric distance $\Delta = 0.069 \text{ au}$) in 2017 December.

1. A limit to the apparent surface brightness of a large-particle dust trail is set at $\Sigma(t_f, \alpha) \geq 27.2 \text{ magnitudes arcsec}^{-2}$. After correcting for phase darkening, we find a limit to the optical depth of dust in the orbit plane $\tau \leq 3 \times 10^{-9}$.

2. Assuming that the activity level of Phaethon remains fixed, the corresponding upper limit to the mass, which will be intercepted by the DESTINY+ dust detector when passing Phaethon at 500 km minimum distance, is comparable to that of a single spherical particle $3 \mu\text{m}$ in radius.

3. The observations provide no evidence for co-moving point sources brighter than $V \sim 23$ (spherical equivalent radius 12 m, at assumed geometric albedo 0.12).

4. Deeply buried ice could survive in Phaethon over the estimated 26 Myr dynamical lifetime even if the thermal diffusivity is regolith-like ($\kappa < 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$), but such low diffusivities are difficult to reconcile with the rugged mechanical properties of the Geminid meteors.
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