Large Longitude Libration of Mercury Reveals a Molten Core
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Observations of radar speckle patterns tied to the rotation of Mercury establish that the planet occupies a Cassini state with obliquity of 2.11 ± 0.1 arc minutes. The measurements show that the planet exhibits librations in longitude that are forced at the 88-day orbital period, as predicted by theory. The large amplitude of the oscillations, 35.8 ± 2 arc seconds, together with the Mariner 10 determination of the gravitational harmonic coefficient $C_{22}$, indicates that the mantle of Mercury is decoupled from a core that is at least partially molten.

Because Mercury is an end-member planet, the characterization of its interior properties is crucial to further our understanding of the formation and evolution of habitable worlds. A question that has challenged planetary scientists for more than three decades is the state of Mercury's core (1). Determining the nature and extent of the core would enable progress in four fundamental areas. First, models of the internal structure of the planet have so far been constrained only by its mean density of 5.43 g cm$^{-3}$ (2), with early (3) and recent (4) calculations yielding a wide range of possible internal configurations. Although it is generally accepted that the planet differentiated early into an iron core and a silicate mantle (5), improved descriptions of the mass distribution within the planet require the measurement of core properties or moments of inertia. Second, thermal evolution models (6) predict a core that is fully or partially liquid, or completely solid. The outcome is particularly sensitive to the abundance of a light alloying element in the core, presumably sulfur, that lowers the melting temperature and allows the maintenance of a liquid outer core over billions of years (7). In order to focus the thermal evolution calculations, the extent and state of the core must be determined. Third, a longstanding puzzle relates to the origin of the magnetic field that was measured by Mariner 10 at a strength of roughly 1% that of Earth (8). A dynamo mechanism involving motion in an electrically conducting molten core is the preferred explanation (9, 10), but alternative theories that do not require a currently liquid core, such as remnant magnetism in the crust, cannot be ruled out (11, 12). Finally, the presence of a liquid core may bear on the unusual spin-orbit configuration of Mercury. It has been suggested that increased energy dissipation at a core/mantle interface would lead to almost certain capture in specific spin-orbit resonances (13, 14), although this capture can also occur naturally as a result of the chaotic evolution of the orbital eccentricity, without the need for additional core/mantle friction (15).

One of us (Peale) devised an observational procedure to characterize the size and state of the core of Mercury, and showed that it is possible to detect a molten core by measuring subtle deviations from the mean resonant spin rate of the planet (1). The early expectation was that the experiment would require landers on the surface of the planet. Here we describe how we have used Earth-based radar instruments to make high-precision measurements of the instantaneous spin rate, and we present observational evidence indicating that Mercury has a molten core. We also provide observational confirmation that Mercury occupies a Cassini state (16) and a measurement of the obliquity of the planet, both of which are required for further characterization of the core with Peale's formalism (1).

Since the discovery that the spin period of Mercury differs from its orbital period (17), it has been hypothesized that the planet rotates on its spin axis three times every two revolutions around the Sun (18) and occupies a Cassini state, in which its spin vector is nearly perpendicular to the orbital plane and precesses at the same rate as the orbital plane (14). As Mercury follows its orbit with eccentricity $e \approx 0.206$, it experiences periodically reversing torques due to the gravitational influence of the Sun on the asymmetric figure of the planet. The torques affect the spin angular momentum and cause small deviations of the spin rate from its resonant value of 3/2 times the mean orbital frequency. The resulting oscillations in longitude are called forced librations, where the forcing and the rotational response occur with a period $P \approx 88$ days, dictated by the orbital motion. The physical librations are characterized by an angle $\phi$ (14) representing the deviations of the orientation of the planet from the exact resonant value

$$\phi = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(B-A)}{C} f(e) \sin(nt)$$

(1)

where $A < B < C$ are the principal moments of inertia, $f(e)$ is a power series in the eccentricity, and $n = 2\pi/P$ is the orbital (forcing) frequency. The gravitational torques and resulting angular deviations are proportional to the difference in equatorial moments of inertia $(B - A)$, a measure of the asymmetry in the mass distribution. The polar moment of inertia $C$ appears in the denominator because it represents a measure of the resistance to changes in rotational motion. If the core of Mercury is solid and the entire planet participates in the librations, $C$ is the relevant moment of inertia. However, if the mantle is decoupled from a fluid core that does not follow the librations, the appropriate moment of inertia in the denominator of Eq. 1 is that of the mantle alone $C_{\text{m}}$ or, more precisely, mantle plus crust. Because $C_{\text{m}}/C \leq 0.5$ in all plausible interior models (3, 4), the amplitude of the librations in the presence of a liquid core is twice as large as it would be with a solid core. Therefore, a measurement of the libration amplitude provides a straightforward mechanism for determining the state of the core remotely. The quantity $(B - A)$ is known from Mariner 10 measurements of the second-degree and -order gravitational coefficient $C_{22}$ (2)

$$C_{22} = \frac{(B-A)}{4MR^2} = (1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$$

(2)

where $M$ and $R$ are the mass and radius of the planet, respectively. The moment of inertia $CMR^2$ has not been measured, but interior models constrain it to the range from 0.325 to 0.380 (4), where the smallest value corresponds to the most centrally condensed configuration (19). Using these data in Eq. 1 shows that the amplitude of the forced librations in the case of a solid core should be within 50% of 19 to 22 arc sec. The amplitude that we measured is outside this range, suggesting a liquid core.

Method. Radar echoes from solid planets exhibit spatial irregularities in the wavefront caused by the constructive and destructive interference of waves scattered by the irregular surface. Because of the rotation of the planet, the corrugations in the wavefront, also called speckles, will sweep over the receiving station and give rise to fluctuations of the electromagnetic signal with time. It is fruitful to evaluate the degree of correlation between signals received at one station located in spacetime at $(x, t)$ with respect to those received at another station at $(x + \delta x, t + \delta t)$. The properties of this spacetime correlation function were first analyzed by Green (20, 21), who showed that for some orientation of the baseline $\delta x$, there exists a time delay $\delta t$ at which the correlation maximizes. When the trajectory of the wavefront corruga-
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with great accuracy to derive the spin vector geometry. Because the time of day at which large correlations are observed is not particularly sensitive to $\alpha$ in Eq. 3, the experiment must be performed at multiple line-of-sight geometries to fully determine the direction of the spin axis in inertial space.

**Observations.** For most of our observations (Table 1) we used the NASA/Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) 70-m antenna (DSS-14) at Goldstone, California (35.24°N, −116.89°E) and transmitted a circularly polarized monochromatic signal at a frequency of 8560 MHz ($\lambda = 3.5$ cm) and power of ~420 kW. Transmission typically occurred for the duration of the round-trip light time to the planet, and reception followed for an equivalent amount of time. Transmit times were carefully selected so that the daily maximum in the correlation would fall during a receive window. Radar echoes were recorded at Goldstone and at the Robert C. Byrd Green Bank Telescope (GBT) in West Virginia (38.24°N, −79.84°E) with fast sampling systems (28). Representative values of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in the same (SC) and opposite (OC) circular polarizations as that transmitted were ~3 and ~20, respectively, in a 200-Hz bandwidth. Some of our observations made use of the Arecibo telescope in Puerto Rico (18.23°N, −66.75°E) as the transmitter ($\lambda = 12.6$ cm) and DSS-14 or a smaller 34-m antenna (DSS-13) as the receiver.

Complex cross-correlations (29) of the echo time series obtained at the receiving stations yield strong correlations near the predicted epochs. The time evolution of the rise and fall in the correlation is well approximated by a Gaussian curve (Fig. 1). For large SNRs, centroid locations can be determined with a precision that is a small fraction of the widths of the correlation functions (30). Epochs of correlation maximum and time lags can be determined to a precision of ~0.5 s and ~0.1 ms from initial widths of ~5 s and ~2.5 ms, respectively. Although the OC echo is dominated by a specular component representative of a smaller fraction of the planetary disk than that which produces the diffuse SC echo, measurements in the two receive polarizations exhibit a high degree of consistency.

**Results.** We used the epochs of correlation maximum (Table 1) in a least-squares fit to derive the position of the axis of rotation of Mercury in the J2000 equatorial frame (Fig. 2). Our calculations include the motions of the planets as given by the JPL Planetary Ephemeris DE414 (31), an Earth orientation model incorporating up-to-date timing and polar motion data, time delays accounting for light-travel times, and general relativistic corrections to the time delays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>$w$ (s)</th>
<th>$\tau$ (s)</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>Spin rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>020513x00Goc</td>
<td>52407.889680</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>−12.36958</td>
<td>1.61 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.999985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020522x00Goc</td>
<td>52416.871258</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>−12.69218</td>
<td>1.58 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.999893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020602x00Goc</td>
<td>52427.845539</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>−11.84078</td>
<td>1.69 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.999861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020612x00Goc</td>
<td>52437.816052</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>−11.21227</td>
<td>1.78 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.999945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030113x00Goc</td>
<td>52652.760205</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>−10.93565</td>
<td>1.37 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030123x00Goc</td>
<td>52662.725791</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>−11.29540</td>
<td>5.28 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.000073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030531x00Goc</td>
<td>52790.846925</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>−8.30671</td>
<td>8.21 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.999932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030601x00Goc</td>
<td>52791.844164</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>−8.36904</td>
<td>1.02 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.999949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030918sA3A0c</td>
<td>52900.630649</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>−14.67124</td>
<td>5.03 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030919sA3A0c</td>
<td>52901.628954</td>
<td>28.95</td>
<td>−14.70674</td>
<td>5.50 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030920sA3A0c</td>
<td>52902.627306</td>
<td>26.94</td>
<td>−14.71705</td>
<td>5.18 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040331x00Goc</td>
<td>53095.963846</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>−7.41574</td>
<td>1.20 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041212x00Goc</td>
<td>53351.866334</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>−7.74059</td>
<td>1.55 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041218x00Goc</td>
<td>53357.845821</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>−7.38323</td>
<td>1.05 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041219x00Goc</td>
<td>53358.845401</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>−7.39230</td>
<td>9.67 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.000075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050313x00Goc</td>
<td>53443.004320</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>−4.78491</td>
<td>3.19 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050314x00Goc</td>
<td>53444.001094</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>−4.98779</td>
<td>9.83 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050316x00Goc</td>
<td>53445.994761</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>−5.31606</td>
<td>1.45 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050318x00Goc</td>
<td>53447.988621</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>−5.53562</td>
<td>1.52 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.000056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060629x00Goc</td>
<td>53915.735467</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>−11.27627</td>
<td>8.35 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.999866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060712x00Goc</td>
<td>53928.676641</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>−10.71493</td>
<td>6.43 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.999882</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The best spacecraft determination of the orientation of the rotation axis gives an obliquity of \(-2^\circ\) (with a 50% error ellipse of \(\pm 2.6^\circ\) by \(\pm 6.5^\circ\)) (32). The constraints obtained from previous Earth-based radar measurements are about 10 times better (33). Our measurements refine the knowledge of the pole orientation by two orders of magnitude. The measured obliquity is \(2.11 \pm 0.1\) arc min, which is precisely within the range of theoretical expectations (34). Our adopted 1σ uncertainty exceeds the formal uncertainties of the fit to guard against undetected systematic effects.

Mercury is expected to be in a Cassini state in which its orbit pole, spin orientation, and perpendicular to the Laplacian plane remain coplanar (14). Numerical simulations (35, 36) support this theoretical prediction. Our spin solution shows that, within observational errors, Mercury occupies Cassini state 1: The confidence regions for the spin orientation fall precisely on the locus of pole positions that satisfy the Cassini condition (Fig. 2). The latter condition relies on a numerical determination of the Laplacian plane (35) that is entirely independent of the radar data. If we use this Laplacian plane and assume that Mercury is in a Cassini state, the best-fit constrained pole solution is at J2000 right ascension 281.0097° and declination 61.4143°.

A detailed characterization of the core of Mercury in the manner described by Peale (1) requires not only the occupancy of the Cassini state but also a precise knowledge of the obliquity, amplitude of the librations, and second-degree gravitational harmonic coefficients. We provide observational confirmation that the first condition is satisfied and values for the first two quantities. Although current uncertainties on gravitational harmonic coefficients (2) prevent us from constraining the size of the core, it is possible to determine the state of the core by measuring the amplitude of the 88-day forced librations.

We determined the amplitude of the librations of Mercury by measuring the instantaneous spin rate of the planet at various epochs. Our fractional uncertainties, on the order of 1 part in \(10^2\), are such that the libration signature is readily apparent. In order to translate our time-lag measurements into values of the rotational velocity of Mercury at each observing epoch (Table 1), we fit for the spin rate value that best satisfies the coherence condition; namely, that the orientation of the planet as seen by the first transmitter-receiver pair at time \(t\) is similar to the orientation of the planet as seen by the second transmitter-receiver pair at time \(t + \delta_t\). This is accomplished by computing the trajectories of light rays between transmitter, bounce point, and receiver, taking light-travel times into account. A Lorentz transformation is used to obtain the orientation of the incoming and outgoing light rays in the moving frame in which Mercury is at rest. Those orientations are converted to planetocentric coordinates with the use of the appropriate rotation matrices. For each observation epoch, the spin rate is adjusted to minimize the difference between the longitudes corresponding to the first transmitter-receiver pair and the longitudes corresponding to the second transmitter-receiver pair, ensuring the coherence condition.

Our measured angular velocities reliably trace the phase of the expected libration signature derived from the torque equation, indicating that the 88-day forced librations have been detected unambiguously (Fig. 3).

Results are very similar in the two circular polarizations. One-parameter fits to the spin rate variations, adjusting in a least-squares sense for the overall amplitude only, yield \((B - A)C_m = (2.01 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}\) and \((B - A)C_m = (2.08 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}\) with reduced chi-square \((\chi^2)\) values of 2.3 and 1.3 for the OC and SC data, respectively. A fit to the combined OC and SC data yields \((B - A)C_m = (2.04 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}\), with \(\chi^2 = 1.8\). The best-fit libration amplitude is not sensitive to the exact spin vector orientation. All Cassini poles with obliquities spanning the range \(2.11 \pm 0.1\) arc min yield consistent results.

To test for the presence of a long-period libration component superposed on the 88-day libration signature, we performed additional fits to the libration equation. A fully dynamical solution admits three free parameters: the overall amplitude, initial libration angle \(\gamma_0\), and initial angular velocity \((d\gamma/dt)\), where we chose the 17 April 2002 perihelion passage (modified Julian date (MJD) 52381.480) as the initial epoch. The best-fit values \((\chi^2 = 0.9)\) to the combined OC and SC data are \((B - A)C_m = (2.03 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}\), \(\gamma_0 = 0.07 \pm 0.01\), \((d\gamma/dt) = (2.10 \pm 0.06)\) arc sec day\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a long-period libration amplitude of \(-30\) arc sec. Because the data span less than a complete cycle, values for the long-period component need confirmation. The period of the free libration is \(P \times 3(B - A)(C_m) = 0.782(16)/\text{year}\), or \(\sim 12\) years (37).

Our adopted value of the moment ratio is \((B - A)C_m = (2.03 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}\), where the 1σ uncertainty captures the 1σ range of the OC-only and SC-only models. This corresponds to an amplitude of the forced librations \(\phi = (35.8 \pm 2)\) arc sec. This value should be compared to the expected amplitude for a solid planet: \(\phi\) within 50% of 19 to 22 arc sec for \(CMR^2 = 0.325\) to 0.380 (4) and \(C_2 = 1.0 \pm 0.5\) \times \(10^{-3}\) (2).

The large libration amplitude that we observe can be explained by a mantle that is decoupled

---

**Fig. 1.** Representative correlation functions obtained on the first observing run (13 May 2002) with integration times of 1 s (symbols) and corresponding Gaussian fits (solid lines). (A) Time evolution of the maximum in the cross-correlation function when using echo time series with 200-Hz sampling. The maximum in the function represents the epoch at which the speckle trajectory passes through both antenna spacetime locations. UT, universal time. (B) Cross-correlation of echo time series with 5000-Hz sampling at the time of the correlation maximum. Successive measurements of the Gaussian centroid were used to produce the time-lag estimates and fractional uncertainties listed in Table 1. Correlation is highest when the sampling rate matches about half the limb-to-limb bandwidth of the planet as in (A). Other sampling rates yield a lower SNR.

**Fig. 2.** Orientation of the spin axis of Mercury, based on the observed epochs of correlation maximum. The formal 68, 95, and 99% joint confidence regions for the spin orientation are shown as contours. The solution was not constrained to obey the Cassini state condition, which is represented by the straight solid line. The equatorial coordinate frame, pole orientations, and Cassini state locations all refer to the J2000 epoch.
from the core or by a serious underestimate of the $C_{22}$ coefficient (38). To explain the nominal 35.8 arc sec libration amplitude with a solid core would require a $C_{22}$ value at least 1.6 times larger than that obtained from Mariner 10 data. In the absence of any other constraint, one can estimate the probability of this occurring to be about 10%, assuming that errors are normally distributed. But because Mercury is in a Cassini state, an additional relationship exists between gravitational harmonic coefficients and obliquity (14). We can use this relationship with our obliquity determination to show that $C_{22}$ values in excess of the nominal Mariner 10 range would require the quadrupole moment $J_2$ to also be outside the Mariner 10 range, a combination of circumstances with less than 10% probability.

In order to evaluate the impact of joint errors on the observables, we performed error propagation analysis and Monte Carlo simulations, where trial values for $C_{22}$ and $\phi$ were drawn from normal distributions with mean and standard deviations corresponding to their measured values and error bars. We then computed the diagnostic ratio $C_{22}/C$ (39) for extreme values of the moment of inertia allowed by interior models (4). The results (Fig. 4A) show that $C_{22}/C$ is smaller than unity with 90% confidence, whereas a solid core requires $C_{22}/C = 1$. If one includes the additional constraint applicable in a Cassini state (Fig. 4B), the confidence level increases to 95%. The nominal $C_{22}/C \cong 0.5$ value implied by our measurements indicates that an outer shell participates alone in the librations, as opposed to the entire planet, and requires that the core of Mercury be at least partially molten.

Implications. The presence of a magnetic field at Mercury (8) cannot be taken as observational evidence of a liquid core, because a currently active dynamo is not the only viable mechanism for producing the field (11, 12). Our observations strengthen the dynamo hypothesis, in that a liquid core is a necessary condition for dynamo action. Although the sufficient conditions for a dynamo are not known, Stevenson (10) has shown that the existence of convection in a partially molten core, rather than the vigor of that convection, is the primary determinant. Thermal convection may arise if the heat flow exceeds the conductive heat flow that can be supported adiabatically. This will be facilitated by latent heat release if an inner core freezes. Inner core growth may also promote chemical convection as the freezing process excludes light alloying elements from the inner core, and as the material at the bottom of the outer core becomes compositionally buoyant because of an excess of those light elements. It is estimated that a fluid layer depth on the order of 100 km or more is required for sustaining convection by compositional buoyancy in Mercury (10). The effectiveness of dynamo processes in various geometries and whether such dynamos can reproduce the field observed by Mariner 10 are topics of active research. Simulations (40) indicate that some thin-shell dynamo configurations plausible for Mercury produce the observed field properties. Other geometries have been proposed, such as dynamos operating deeply underneath a stably stratified liquid outer core (41) or thick-shell dynamos (42). The MESSENGER spacecraft (43), en route to Mercury, is expected to map the magnetic field in detail and to determine the nature of its source (44).

The presence of a liquid core in Mercury has important implications for theories of planet formation and evolution. Thermal models predict a frozen core unless a sufficient amount of sulfur (at least 0.1% weight fraction) depresses the melting temperature of the core material (6). But chemical condensation models (45) indicate that sulfur cannot condense in the primordial solar nebula at the heliocentric distance of Mercury. Hence, the need for a sufficient amount of accreted sulfur to keep the core molten over the age of the solar system implies that Mercury was accreted from planetesimals that originated over a wide range of heliocentric distances. It may be possible to place further constraints on the amount of sulfur if it can be shown that the liquid core is convecting; that is, if it can be proven that a dynamo is responsible for the magnetic field. Although a minimum amount of sulfur is necessary to maintain a liquid core, too much sulfur inhibits inner core growth and core convection. If the sulfur abundance exceeds ~ 6 to 10% (7, 6, 46), the core would remain com-

---

Fig. 3. Mercury spin rate deviations from the resonant rate of 3/2 times the mean orbital frequency. Observed data points and their error bars from Table 1 are shown at their respective epochs. The solid line is a numerical integration of the torque equation, the phase of which is dictated by the time of pericenter passage. (A) A one-parameter fit to the OC data (allowing for 88-day forced librations only). (B) A three-parameter fit to the OC data (allowing for an additional 12-year free libration component).

Fig. 4. Histograms of the diagnostic quantity $C_{22}/C$, where values less than unity indicate a liquid core, obtained by using $10^5$ draws from normal distributions of $\phi$ and $C_{22}$ values. Both extremes in the range of plausible interior models $C_{2MR}^2 = 0.325$ (red) and $C_{2MR}^2 = 0.380$ (blue) are considered. (A) shows the results without invoking the Cassini state, whereas (B) includes the additional constraint that exists between gravitational harmonic coefficients and obliquity in a Cassini state (14).
pletely fluid over the age of the solar system, and a dynamo process would be unlikely. Although the large amplitude of the forced librations indicates a liquid core, our observations cannot determine the size of the core, the extent of the inner core, or the polar moment of inertia of Mercury. These parameters are required to assemble a more complete picture of the internal structure of the planet and to probe the thermal evolution and magnetic field generation in much greater detail. Our error bars on \( C_{\text{eq}}/C \) are currently dominated by uncertainties in the value of \( C_{22} \) (Fig. 4). But this gravitational harmonic can be constrained to the 1% level or better by tracking the orbit of a spacecraft. With such a measurement, expected from MESSENGER (43), our error bars on \( C_{\text{eq}}/C \) would instantly drop to a level commensurate with uncertainties on the libration amplitude, roughly 0.6%. By assuming the densities of crust, mantle, and core material and using the integral relations for the ratio of moments of inertia, the \( C_{\text{eq}}/C \) value could then be used to place a useful constraint on the size of the core. A superior estimate will require measurements of the polar moment of inertia of the planet (1, 14, 34, 39), which can be derived by combining improved estimates of the gravitational harmonic coefficients with our values of the obliquity and libration amplitude.

Earth rotation studies demonstrate that the long-term monitoring of planetary rotations reveals excitation on a variety of time scales that can be used to constrain the underlying geophysical processes (47). The mechanisms affecting rotation also provide insights into interior structure, viscoelastic properties, and interactions at the core/mantle and atmosphere/surface boundaries. The capability to monitor the spins of terrestrial planets with Earth-based radar provides a new tool to probe the interiors of planets and opens new avenues in planetary physics.
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